



OAKLAND UNIVERSITY
S E N A T E

Oakland University Senate

Second Meeting
October 9, 1975

MINUTES

Present: Atlas, Barron, Burke, Cameron, Cowlshaw, Evarts, Freeman, Genyea, Hampton, Hetenyi, Heubel, Hitchingham, Johnson, Karasch, Ketchum, Lawton, Liboff, Matthews, McBride, McKay, McKinley, Moeller, O'Dowd Paslay, Pogany, Russell, Scherer, Schuldenberg, Schwartz, Shacklett, Strauss, Swanson, Swartz, Torch and Tower

Absent: Barnard, Bertocci, Cafone, Coffman, Chernov, DeMont, Doane, Felton, Gardiner, Hamilton, Hammerle, Hovanesian, Keegan, Keelin, Klein, Moberg, Obear, Ruscio, Seeber, Shantz, Tucker, Voight, White and Williamson

Mr. O'Dowd presided.

Before turning to the formal agenda, Mr. O'Dowd talked of budget matters (nothing cheerful), enrollments (not discouraging) and the possibility of an increase in tuition. Mr. O'Dowd welcomed the efforts of Mr. Lawton (University Congress) and his colleagues to call to the Legislature's attention student apprehension of increasing tuition and declining state support throughout the Michigan system of higher education.

Mr. Matthews announced that the Steering Committee had appointed a new Elections Committee as follows:

Ms. Billie DeMont (AP) Chairperson,
Mr. Peter Keelin (Education),
Mr. Kenneth Moberg, (School of Economics & Management)

Mr. O'Dowd then called the meeting to order at 3:40 p.m. and on motion of Mr. Hetenyi, seconded by Mr. Johnson, the minutes of the meeting of September 18, 1975, were approved by voice vote. Attention was then turned to the formal agenda.

A. Old Business

None

B. New Business

1., 2. and 3. Motions from the Academic Policy Committee

Mr. Fullmer introduced these measures for the Academic Policy Committee and explained them in general terms. Each item in turn was then moved by Mr. Moeller and seconded by Mr. Heubel.

Mr. Liboff raised the question of the impact of this proposed program on budget and computer facilities. Mr. Arnold (Department of Mathematical Sciences and member of the original study committee appointed by the Academic Policy Committee) invited to respond, replied that in his opinion, the Concentration would neither increase costs nor load the computer more than presently existing courses in statistics. Mr. Hetenyi wished to know why (e) of Item 2. specified an annual review. Mr. Arnold explained to Mr. Hetenyi's satisfaction.

First Reading. No amendments offered.

4. Motion from the Steering Committee

Moved by Mr. Tower and seconded by Mr. Hetenyi.

Mr. Barren arose to express concern that the Steering Committee's action in placing this measure on the Agenda was hasty. A general discussion of the Spring and Summer Committee's charge and function ensued, it being argued by Mr. Barren that while the changed administrative arrangements for Spring and Summer did imply the elimination of the Committee as charged, still there was need for such a faculty-administrative committee with a different charge. It was the sense of the Senate that the membership of the Spring and Summer Committee should discuss its concerns with the Steering Committee before the second reading of this motion on November 13, 1975.

First Reading. No amendments offered.

5. Motion from the Steering Committee

Moved by Mr. Tower and seconded by Mr. Johnson.

No discussion.

First Reading. No amendments offered.

On motion of Mr. Hetenyi, duly seconded, the meeting was adjourned at 4:20 p.m.

Office of the Provost
10/28/75;J