# **Oakland University Senate**

# 17 April 2008 *Minutes*

Members present: Andersen, Bhargava, Brown, Connery, Debnath, Doane, Dulio, Eberly-Lewis, Frick, Goslin, Graetz, Hawley, Khattree, Larrabee, Lee, LeMarbe, Lombardo, Machmut-Jhashi, Mittelstaedt, Moudgil, Murphy, Nixon, Otto, Pelfrey, Polis, Preisinger, Russell, Sangeorzan, Severson, Shablin, Spagnuolo, Sudol, Voelck, Wharton, Williams, Wood

Members absent: Berven (D), Berven (K), Condic, Dvir, Giblin, Halpin, Ingram, Kissock, Lilliston, Mitton, Moore, Moran, Penprase, Rammel, Rigstad, Spedoske, Tanniru, Thompson, Townsend, Zou

1. Senate Updates:

Summary of Actions:

Campus Closure - Mr. Shablin

Campus Closure - Mr. Lucido

Medical School Dean Search - Mr. Moudgil

New Department of Writing and Rhetoric - Mr. Sudol

- 2. Roll Call. Approval of 20 March minutes. Mr. Frick, Ms. Andersen
- 3. Motion to approve new Ph.D. in Electrical and Computer Engineering. Mr. Polis, Mr. Severson. Second reading. Approved.
- 4. Motion to approve new major program in Japanese. Mr. Severson, Mr. Nixon. Second reading. Approved.
- 5. Motion to approve new major program in Writing and Rhetoric. Ms. Mittelstaedt, Mr. Severson. First reading.
- 5a. Motion to correct the name of the program as written in the motion from "Rhetoric and Writing" to "Writing and Rhetoric." Mr. Goslin, Ms. Mittelstaedt. Approved.
- 5b. Motion to waive the second reading and approve the program. Mr. Larrabee. Mr. Sudol. Approved. One abstention.
- 6. Motion to amend the resolution from the Student Academic Support Committee for the 2009 summer calendar to remove the reference relating to approval by the BOT. Mr. Russell. Mr. Connery.
  - 6a. Motion to waive second reading of the resolution. Mr. Goslin. Mr. Dulio.
- 6b. Motion to support the resolution from the Student Academic Support Committee for the 2009 summer calendar. Mr. Murphy, Mr. Russell. Approved. Mr. Shablin, Ms. Lee opposed.

Calling the meeting to order at 3:15, Mr. Moudgil invited Mr. Shablin to render an update on the campus closure on April 14. Mr. Shablin indicated that the closure schedule resembles that of a snow day, with no change to the academic calendar. Classes will end on Saturday, April 19, as scheduled, and final exams will begin as listed in the official schedule. Mr. Moudgil then asked the Chief of Police, Mr. Lucido, to provide remarks regarding the recent closure. Mr. Lucido described the chain of events that led the administration to make the decision to close the campus on April 13 and 14. On Saturday afternoon, April 12, a student notified campus police of a threatening message discovered in a restroom in O'Dowd Hall. No specific threat was manifest in that message, but its disturbing nature warranted a campus-wide email message that evening. Later that night, another call was received about another threatening message discovered in the Oakland Center, which was more specific. A full search of all academic buildings then took place, which yielded a third message in a restroom in Kresge Library early Sunday morning. Dr. Russi called the Crisis Management Team together on Sunday morning and

because it could not be determined that the messages were pranks, and because the messages were date specific, the decision was made to close the campus on Monday, April 14 and to reopen at 7:00 am on Tuesday morning. Mr. Lucido noted that similar messages found at Xavier College in Chicago caused that institution to close, sending students home. Mr. Lucido added that Oakland County law enforcement and the FBI have been very supportive. An active investigation continues, with the actual text of the messages not released to the media.

Mr. Polis asked about the faculty's role in a campus emergency involving an active shooter. That question led into Mr. Lucido's second topic, the work of the Crisis Management Team. Mr. Lucido explained that he has established both a Crisis Management Team and an Emergency Response Plan for the university, which is updated annually. The next meeting of the team takes place on April 30. Within twenty-four hours of both the Virginia Tech and Northern Illinois shootings President Russi called together the committee to see what could be learned from those incidents. According to Chief Lucido, those discussions bore fruit; four areas of focus were identified: 1) behavioral warning signs that could help in prevention. An on-line training program, titled "Preventing Campus Violence" is now available to all members of the OU community, including students. Chief Lucido urges all in the OU community to watch this 15-minute video that discusses the recognition and report of inappropriate or threatening behavior. The video and other procedural information is available through an Emergency Preparedness link from the OU homepage. Additionally, a training program will be ready by September 1 for the OU community. A presentation of this program will be made to the Crisis Management team later in the month for their input, and when ready for the campus community, it will be presented by a police officer. Chief Lucido also noted that a threat assessment team has been established at OU. FERPA issues are significant in this context and have been addressed in detail by General Counsel. He also observed that the Vice President of Student Affairs has organized a team of advisers and counselors to assist immediately in the event of an incident on campus; 2) the Emergency Notification system has changed. In years past, posters placed throughout campus, while effective, are no longer sufficient. Presently, campus-wide emails, voice mail alerts on campus phones, and notification via the university website and local media outlets are used. By the fall term, Chief Lucido hopes that a text message alert system will be in place. A vendor has been identified and training plans are underway; 3) Lock-down policies for all OU buildings have been established. While these have been in place for several years at middle and high schools, they have not been the norm on college campuses. With 54 buildings, and multiple entrances freely accessed by the public at all times of the day and night, the police department has created an effective set of lock-down policies that pertain to academic buildings, service-type buildings like the OC, and buildings on the east campus. All academic buildings will remain open, Chief Lucido noted, so that they can provide a place of refuge for students, faculty, and staff who may be outdoors during an incident. He recalled the University of Texas tower shooting in the 1960s, where those who were able to get inside a building survived. Exterior doors of academic building will remain open, but interior classroom doors should be locked by faculty members. Faculty are responsible for the safety of themselves and their students, and all should have a key to the classrooms they teach in. The updated lock-down procedures will be distributed to department chairs by Ms. Machmut-Jhashi, who has been working with Chief Lucido on the policy. In addition, evacuation information will be passed on to faculty. Chief Lucido also suggested that a mention of emergency procedures be included on course syllabi, and that instructors take five minutes at the beginning of the term to review evacuation and shelter procedures; 4) Police department equipment and training have been upgraded significantly in the last sixteen months to enhance response capability.

Chief Lucido then remarked that although ringing cell phones are annoying in class, keeping them on silent is strongly suggested so that text messages can be accessed. Noting that universities report only 30-40% of students opt to receive text messages, Chief Lucido believes that this is still a very helpful

number. If only one person in a classroom receives a message, it will enable the word of an emergency to spread. Mr. Russell inquired as to the members of the threat assessment team, to which Chief Lucido replied that representatives from several offices comprise the team, including the Dean of Students, counselors from Graham Health Center, Police Chief's office, Schools of Education and Health Sciences counselors, among others. Mr. Russell then mentioned an incident with which he became familiar about a year ago in which a faculty member was specifically threatened by a student, and was afraid to come to campus for the entire summer. He asserted that the police officer interviewing the student determined that there was no threat, although the faculty member is a trained counselor. Chief Lucido asked Mr. Russell whether he was sure of his facts, but would research the case as he was not familiar with it.

Ms. Mittelstaedt inquired whether there is something similar to the older system of indicating a tornado alert. Chief Lucido said that universities are reviewing effective technologies and do employ sirens to indicate emergency threats. OU has such a large siren but it is operated by Oakland County. Chief Lucido added that he would like a system that would allow a siren with verbal instructions. Another question was raised about students and faculty feeling anxious about returning to class. Chief Lucido replied that he is aware that anxiety is an issue, and that a hotline has been established by the Center for Student Activities to talk through any concerns.

Ms. Wood raised the issue of cognitive-impaired students who have been admitted in increasing numbers to OU in recent years, and that behavioral issues have manifested with certain students. Chief Lucido believes that viewing the training video may help, and that people should "trust their gut" when a red flag is raised. Mr. Sangeorzan then pointed out that the campus-wide email did not go to all adjunct faculty. Chief Lucido wondered if some adjuncts have primary email elsewhere, and that he would look into that possibility.

Mr. Moudgil then turned to an update on the Medical School, remarking that dean candidates have made second visits to campus. Other steps in the immediate future include the approval of the medical school by the BOT and work on the school's constitution, currently being drafted with the assistance of Art Griggs, which will eventually come to the Senate. Fund raising continues, with four million dollars (from an anonymous donor) already in place. Mr. Moudgil pointed out that the medical school budget is completely separate from the university's general fund. Mr. Russell inquired about the governance model planned for the school, to which Mr. Moudgil responded that the School of Medicine is planned as another school of the university, the same as the other units.

Mr. Sudol then presented the final informational item - the establishment of the new Department of Writing and Rhetoric in the College of Arts and Sciences, which will be carved out of the current Department of Rhetoric, Communications and Journalism, the largest in the College (noting that it is as large as the School of Nursing). He began with a historical overview of writing programs at Oakland University: from the early "exploratories" at OU to the Department of Learning Skills, a program run from the Provost's office. Responding to a national trend in promoting the teaching of writing, OU created a Department of Rhetoric that was moved into the College. At that time there was also a Department of Communication Arts. The two small departments were combined. What resulted eventually were three separate faculties within a department that grew substantially. The new Department of Rhetoric and Writing will be composed of twelve faculty members, thirteen if Mr. Sudol is counted. Mr. Sudol further noted that OU is unusual in that historically Rhetoric was never housed in the English Department as is the common practice at many universities. In the 1980s the School of Business of Administration requested courses in business and technical writing, and at that time the English department was overstaffed so the classes were assigned there. Since then, the writing classes

have been split within two departments. The new department will unite all writing courses within the new major and minor program. In addition, the department will enhance General Education, help to reform the First Year Experience, and contribute to the staffing of the Writing Center.

Mr. Russell asked whether senate committees have looked into matter of the new department. Mr. Sudol indicated that senate committees have been active in considering the proposal for a new major, which will be considered later in the agenda. He observed that that is a separate issue from the administrative separation of the departments. Mr. Russell then asked whether the separation of the departments was not of academic importance and therefore requiring senate support. Mr. Sudol replied that the new department was certainly of academic importance but that he considered it an administrative matter of the College. Mr. Russell expressed that he would rather the Senate a resolution of endorsement of the program as a matter of record.

The secretary then proceeded with the roll call. A motion to approve the <u>minutes from the March</u> meeting was made and approved (moved by Mr. Frick, seconded by Ms. Andersen).

#### Old Business

Mr. Polis made the following motion, duly seconded by Mr. Severson:

**MOVED** that the Senate recommend to the President and Board of Trustees approval of a Ph.D. in Electrical and Computer Engineering.

After Mr. Frick noted a correction to the library budget and apologized to Ms. Voelck for the error, the Senate voted unanimously to approve the new program.

Next, Mr. Severson moved the remaining item of old business:

**MOVED** that the Senate recommend to the President and Board of Trustees approval of a new major program in <u>Japanese Language and Literature</u>.

Duly seconded by Mr. Nixon, the motion was then approved unanimously by the Senate.

#### **New Business**

Ms. Mittelstaedt moved the first item of new business, with a second from Mr. Severson:

**MOVED** that the Senate recommend to the President and Board of Trustees approval of a new major <u>program in Writing and Rhetoric.</u>

Mr. Moudgil invited Mr. Kitchens to provide an overview of the new program, whereupon Mr. Kitchens offered a comprehensive description of the new major, including several comparisons with other universities that have implemented a writing major. He discussed student outcomes, core principals, and three tracks for students to pursue: writing for the professions (technical writing, editing, publishing etc.), writing for new media, and writing as a discipline (to pursue graduate work).

The plan of study comprises 40 credits, including core courses, capstone, and an internship. For the latter, in addition to many on-campus internship possibilities, Mr. Kitchens noted a list of 250 local entities offering internship opportunities. Career opportunities are diverse, according to Mr. Kitchens, and range from editing and publishing to work in new media. He observed that careers in technical writing are projected to grow substantially in the next decade, as are the various paths for new media specialists, such as website design. He also noted that the major works well with dual majors and

minors.

Ms. Pelfrey asked whether the writing classes are now available. According to Mr. Kitchens, several classes are in development and will be offered in the next couple of years. Another question was raised about the necessity of hiring more part-time faculty; Mr. Kitchens said that he believed that this would not be the case, and that the emphasis would be on full-time faculty teaching the courses. Ms. Pelfrey asked how the first-year writing courses would help, say, a business major. Mr. Giberson described a scenario in which a writing minor would be extremely beneficial for a business student, building upon the current 8 credit writing requirement for business majors. Mr. Doane then talked briefly about the need for rigor in introductory writing courses as it is not unusual for him to encounter students who have taken three writing courses and still do not demonstrate success in writing. Mr. Kitchens remarked on the various ways that student writing and reading will be developed across the curriculum and that many related skills will be strengthened through awareness and responsibility.

Mr. Larrabee raised the issue of waiving the second reading. Before that could be considered, Mr. Goslin moved to correct the actual title of the program in the motion as it was written, "Rhetoric and Writing" to "Writing and Rhetoric." Ms. Mittelstaedt offered a second, and the motion was approved. Then Mr. Larrabee offered a motion to waive the second reading and approve the program, with a second from Mr. Sudol. Ms. Pelfrey asked about reports from senate standing committees, and Ms. Jhashi replied that UCUI, Assessment, Budget, and Planning have supported the program. She noted that questions raised by the SPRC were answered to the chair's satisfaction, but that the chair was unable to reach a quorum of his committee. Ms. Otto expressed her opinion that enough people have studied and vetted the program to warrant its consideration with a second reading waived. Mr. Sudol added that Senate Standing committees make recommendations regarding new programs, but do not actually approve them; rather, it is the Senate that votes on them. Ms. Jhashi supported that observation with a reminder of the charge to SPRC to "analyze the implications to the university" of a new program.

The Senate then voted to waive the second reading and approve the program, with one abstention.

The next item of new business was moved by Mr. Murphy, and seconded by Mr. Russell:

**MOVED** that the Senate supports the <u>resolution</u> from the Student Academic Support Committee for the 2009 summer semester calendar.

Mr. Russell reviewed the basic elements of the motion, pointing out that the 14-week calendar this year posed problems that were considered at length by the committee. The resolution states that should the Senate consider calendar changes in the future that the situation with final exams during the last week of a term (or any conflict with the faculty agreement) be dealt with ahead of time. The resolution supports a calendar for 2009 that is identical with that of 2008.

Mr. Shablin observed that two conferral dates in a semester would be new territory, and that our sister institutions in Michigan have only one conferral date in a semester. Two dates would be treading new ground. Mr. Shablin explained that to accommodate the final exam schedule for fourteen parts of term as was the model developed for 2008 enough flexibility was necessary in order to schedule the exams during the final week of the term. Mr. Russell noted that the intention of the motion was to clarify the current Senate regulations, which hold that exams cannot be held the last week of the term, specifically in the context of the two seven-week and one fifteen-week sessions (and not any other sessions). The issue of conferral dates, according to Mr. Russell, is relevant for students in programs involved with

licensing exams given in summer. Other students need verification of degree for employment. Mr. Shablin indicated that two conferral dates are technically possible, but expressed concern about when those dates would occur. He noted the desirability of those dates to be the last day of the former spring session and the end of summer. He further observed that the reference to the Board of Trustees approval could be removed from the resolution since the calendar is a contractual issue. Additionally, the problem of when students would go through commencement was addressed by both Mr. Shablin and Ms. Lee. According to Ms. Lee confusion will arise for students when determining the timing of their commencement.

Mr. Russell moved to amend the resolution to remove the reference to Board of Trustees approval and to replace with "as soon as possible", and was given a second by Mr. Connery. After the Senate approved the amendment, Mr. Goslin moved to waive the second reading. Mr. Dulio seconded and the Senate voted to approve. The motion to approve the resolution passed with two votes opposed from Mr. Shablin and Ms. Lee.

The final item of new business, to staff senate standing committees, was moved by Ms. Bhargava, seconded by Mr. Severson, and approved by the Senate.

**MOVED** that the persons listed be appointed to Senate Standing committees and that the persons so designated by appointed chair.

Senate Standing Committees-Faculty Appointments

# **Academic Computing Committee**

Subramanian Ganesan (SECS) - 2007-2009 *Chair*Anne Becker (CAS) - 2007-2009
Laila Guessous (SECS) - 2007-2009
Gary Moore (SON) - 2007-2009
Kasaundra Tomlin (SBA) - 2008-2009
Ram Orzach (SBA) - 2008-2010
Yang Xia (CAS) - 2008-2010
Ji-Eun Lee (SEHS) - 2008-2010
Patricia Wren (SHS) - 2008-2010

# **Academic Conduct Committee**

Mike Latcha (SECS) - 2007-2009 *Chair*Kasia Kietlinska (CAS) - 2007-2009
Charlie McGlothlin (SHS) - 2007-2009
Estela Moreno-Mazzoli (CAS) - 2007-2009
Barbara Penprase (SON) - 2007-2009
Dan Ring (Library) - 2007-2009
Rachel Smydra (CAS) - 2007-2009
Stephanie Vallie (SON) - 2007-2009
Sumit Dinda (SHS) - 2008-2010
Tricia Juettemeyer (KL) - 2008-2010
Cynthia Miree-Coppin (SBA) - 2008-2010
Eric LaRock (CAS) - 2008-2010
Elysa White (CAS) - 2008-2010
Lunjin Lu (SECS) - 2008-2010

# **Academic Standing and Honors Committee**

Eddie Cheng (CAS) - 2007-2009 *Chair* Laszlo Liptak (CAS) - 2007-2009 Mohammad-Reza Siadat (SECS) - 2008-2010 Youngjoo Kim (SEHS) - 2008-2010 Xia Wang (SECS) - 2008-2010

### **Assessment Committee**

Carrie Motyka (SON) - 2008-2011 *Chair*, Fall 2008 Shannan McNair (SEHS) - 2006-2009 (at-large) *Chair*, Winter 2009 Pat Piskulich (CAS) - Winter 2008-2009 Keyu Li (SECS) - 2006-2009 Beth Kraemer (KL) - 2006-2009 Ghassan Saed (CAS) - 2007-2010 Sadi Bazaz (SBA) - Winter 2008-2009 Eileen Johnson (SEHS) - 2007-2010 Robert Van Til (SECS) - 2006-2009 (at-large) Fran Meuser (CAS) - 2008-2011 Carrie Motyka (SON) - 2008-2011

#### **Athletics Committee**

Robby Stewart (CAS) - Faculty Athletics Representative and *Chair* Karl Majeske (SBA) - 2006-2009 Caroline Jumel (CAS) - 2006-2009 Ken Mitton (ERI) - 2007-2010 Erica Ruegg (SEHS) - 2008-2011

### **Budget Review Committee**

John Krauss (SHS) - 2006-2009 Barbara Mabee (CAS) - 2006-2009 Shravan Chintala (ERI) - 2007-2010 Gwendolyn McMillon (SEHS) - 2007-2010 Balaji Rajagopalan (SBA) - 2008-2011 *Chair* Anne Mitchell (SON) - 2009-2011

# **Campus Development and Environment Committee**

Wanda Reygaert (SHS) - 2007-2009 *Chair* William Cramer (KL) - 2007-2009 Mark Isken (SBA) - 2007-2009 Rich Stamps (CAS) - 2008-2010 Fay Hansen (CAS) - 2008-2010

#### **General Education Committee**

Cathie Breidenbach (CAS) - 2007-2009 Shawn Lombardo (KL) - 2007-2009 Youngjoo Kim (SEHS) - Winter 2008-2009 Henry Aigbedo (SBA) -- 2008-2009 Marshall Kitchens (CAS) - 2008-2010 Stacey Hahn (CAS) - 2008-2010 Deb McGinnis (CAS) - 2008-2010 Wanda Gibson-Scipio (SON) - 2008-2010 Anandi Sahu (SBA) - 2008-2010

# **Honorary Degree Committee**

Chair: Vice President for Academic Affairs designee: Dr. Tamara Machmut-Jhashi Shravan Chintala (ERI) - 2006-2009
Anahid Kulwicki (SON) - 2007-2010
Greg Giberson (CAS) - 2007-2009
Mike Polis (SECS) - 2007-2010
Mary Zeppelin (SEHS) - 2008-2011
Aldona Pobutsky (CAS) - 2008-2011

# **Library Committee**

Shannon Flumerfelt (SEHS) - 2007-2009 *Chair* Rebecca Gaydos (CAS) - 2007-2009
Tricia Juttemeyer (KL) - 2007-2009
Karen Markel (SBA) - 2007-2009
Anne Switzer (KL) - 2008-2009
John Finke (CAS) - 2008-2010
Barb Oakley (SECS) - 2008-2010
Laura Pittiglio (SON) - 2008-2010
Vagner Whitehead (CAS) - 2008-2010
Sara Maher (SHS) - 2008-2010
Mariela Gunn (KL) - 2008-2010

### **Planning Review Committee**

Mary Stein (SEHS) - 2006-2009 *Chair* Ingrid Rieger (CAS) - Winter 2007-2009 Gautum Singh (SECS) - 2007-2010 Mukesh Bhargava (SBA) - 2007-2010 Frances Jackson (SON) - 2008-2011 George Stoffan (CAS) - 2008-2011

### **Research Committee**

Paul Kubicek (CAS) - 2007-2009 Chair Lynne Williams (SHS) - 2007-2009 Vince Khapoya (CAS) - 2007-2009 Laila Guessous (SECS) - 2007-2009 Eileen Johnson (SEHS) - 2007-2009 Vijay Sugumaran (SBA) - 2008-2010 Tom Pedroni (SEHS) - 2008-2010 Xiangqun Zeng (CAS) - 2008-2010

# **Student Academic Support Committee**

Anne Switzer (KL) - 2006-2009

Peg Roytek (SEHS) - 2006-2009 Joel Russell (CAS) - 2007-2010 *Chair* Buck Dillon (SBA) - 2007-2010 Suha Kridli (SON) - 2008-2011 Derek Hastings (CAS) - 2008-2011

### **Teaching and Learning Committee**

Mike MacDonald (SEHS) - 2007-2009 *Chair* Austin Murphy (SBA) - 2007-2009 Pavlo Bosyy (CAS) - 2007-2009 Debatosh Debnath (CAS) - 2008-2010 Jennifer Law-Sullivan (CAS) - 2008-2010 Sunwoo Shin (SEHS) - 2008-2010

# **University Committee on Undergraduate Instruction**

Chair: Susan Awbrey, Senior Associate Provost
Howard Qu (CAS-natural sciences) - Winter 2008-2009
Millie Merz (KL) - 2006-2009
Timothy Larrabee (SEHS) - 2006-2009
Charlie McGlothlin (SHS) - 2006-2009
Kieran Mathieson (SBA) - 2007-2010
Darlene Schott-Baer (SON) - 2007-2010
David Dulio (CAS - social sciences) - Winter 2008-2010
Michael Mitchell (CAS arts/humanities) - 2008-2011
Jia Li (SECS) - 2008-2011

Next, Mr. Moudgil then expressed his appreciation to all the Senators for their good work this semester and to Ms. Jhashi for her "unseen" efforts in working on behalf of the Senate.

Mr. Russell then offered to move that the Senate endorse a proposal to establish a new Department of Writing and Rhetoric. Mr. Nixon and Ms. Otto seconded the motion and the Senate voted to approve the endorsement

One item surfaced for Good and Welfare, that of making student course evaluations available on-line. Ms. Becker raised the issue of pursuing a plan that would require students to complete evaluations on-line (with grade and other penalties for non-compliance) and to make all evaluations available digitally (thus alleviating storage problems). Mr. Moudgil suggested that the Steering Committee could pursue this issue next year.

A motion to adjourn was met with general approval at 5:10 p.m.

Respectfully submitted, Tamara Machmut-Jhashi Secretary to the University Senate

Please note the following paragraph, appended to the minutes upon the request of Mr. Russell.

At the April 17 Senate meeting I reported an incident that occurred a year ago when a faculty member felt threatened by a student. I said that the police officer who had investigated did not feel there was a

serious threat. Upon recently talking to the faculty member I learned that the police had agreed with her that she was in danger and urged her to get a personal protection order. It was individuals in the Student Affairs office and the CAS office rather than the police that thought she had overreacted and that this student was not a threat. I regret that my memory confused these conclusions and apologize to the officers involved.