



ARCHIVES



PARKING APPEALS & Parent's Day

PARENTS DAY

PAT WALSH

T.A.B.

DALE SMITH

It doesn't very often happen that parents are given the opportunity to take over the campus for a day. In fact, it happens only once a year! That day, Saturday, November 16, is drawing very close. It is time for everyone to write his annual letter home to let his parents know that they are invited to take part in this program.

This year, a concentrated effort has been made to make the program an interesting and informative one. For this reason, it is hoped that this year's attendance will be considerably higher than last years 300 people.

The program, which is intended for the parents of residents and commuters alike, will consist of sample lectures and student life panels..which should be a good indication of what really goes on here. These panels are not expected to last long and so the parents will have plenty of time to ask questions.

continued on page 7

The following is an attempt to make clear the purpose and operations of the Traffic Appeals Board. Being a member of the board, I know the opinions and ideas expressed in this article are these of all the members of the board.

"The Traffic Appeals Board consist of four student members chosen by the Dean of Student's office. One of the members of this group will also serve on the Parking Commission, providing a liaison between the two groups.

The charge of the Appeals Board is to:

- a) hear and judge first and second appeals on violations.
- b) make minor changes in charges as appropriate but not as a routine matter
- c) call problems to the attention of the Parking Commission."

The only outline given for actions taken by the Traffic Appeals Board (TAB) was stated above in
continued on page 7

HELP THE HUMP!

The national elections are Tuesday, and we have to agree with the article by Mike Gingras (p. 4) that the Republicans will probably win. This is hardly what we want, but it does seem the most likely outcome.

None of the party candidates is very attractive this year. The election of George Wallace would probably set the racial and social gains this country has made back about 15 years. Richard Nixon, if elected, will ride into the White House on the supposition that he will stifle the dissent that is disturbing so many people in our society. Hubert Humphrey, on the other hand, promises no change in the administration's war policies. Though less of a hawk than either Nixon or Wallace, he has hardly given any assurance that he will really try to end the war.

In a situation like this, it hardly seems worthwhile to vote. Real minority candidates like Dick Gregory or Eldridge Cleaver are bound to look doubly attractive to a good many voters. And we have to admit that after listening to what the candidates have to say Dick Gregory does sound like a good choice.

The problem is, though, that the vast majority of American voters are not going to write in a name when they vote. They are either going to vote for one of the major candidates or just vote straight party, with no thought of the alternatives. Considering this, the only logical choice for the intelligent voter would seem to be Hubert Humphrey. At least he is not openly racist, and he takes a softer stand on the war than the other two candidates. His running-mate, Sen. Edmund Muskie, has a solid liberal record.

The person who votes for Dick Gregory, Eldridge Cleaver, et cetera, is merely taking a vote away from Humphrey and making it all the easier for Nixon or Wallace to

win. It is tantamount to voting for one of them.

For this reason, Fitz Bitz urges those students who can vote to cast their ballots for Hubert Humphrey. We may not like it, but any other choice seems close to murdering freedom of speech and tolerance of minorities in this country.

BOMBING HALT NEEDED IN VANDENBERG

The administration has done its best to convince everyone that the Vandenberg bomb scare was actually a bomb scare, and most students seem to have rather warily accepted the administration's version.

However, there remain some nagging doubts about the incident and some very important questions have been raised.

First of all, why were so few precautions taken for the preservation of university property and the safety of student lives? In a telephone conversation with John Tapp, men's head resident of Vandenberg, he stated that the volunteer fire departments in the area would have disliked being called out for a bomb scare because the chances of there actually being a bomb were so low. The demolition squads in the area (Detroit, Selfridge Air Force Base) do not come out until a bomb has actually been found. Added to this was the fact that the university did not have a plan to follow in such an event, and there was simply no precedent in the case.

These would seem to be rather poor excuses for such risky actions as having the R.A.'s check the rooms and not notifying any fire departments. How would an R.A. know a bomb if he did find one? A bomb in a shoebox would look like anything else in a shoebox, unless the box were opened--rather risky business for an R.A. This is one reason why there is so much suspicion and disbelief among the students. It is difficult to believe that the university would

(con. on p. 3)

risk so much in such a situation.

If on the other hand, the university really was so unprepared, it is high time that something is done about it. It took the death of a professor to convince Public Safety that its personnel should have a knowledge of first aid. Just how much would it take to convince the university that it should be prepared for other emergencies, such as the bomb scare or a tornado, which is another possibility.

There is another aspect of the bomb scare. The university has been very generous and said that no one will be prosecuted for drugs or alcoholic beverages seen in rooms. But as one student was heard to say, how can the memory of which rooms contained such things be erased from the minds of the R.A.'s and public safety personnel that saw them? And what is to prevent them from using the information at a later date? When university officials complain because many students do not believe them, they should remember that this thought is probably running through many minds in Vandenberg.

AVALANCHE FROM

APPLECORE

Two days after the elections and still the nation is reeling. Did Nixon win? No. Did Humphrey win? No again. Not even Wallace won. No, it was Elma Fuzzbottom!

Miss Fuzzbottom, age 56, conducted her campaign from her home in Upper Applecore, New York. It was a very limited campaign--she has relatives in each of the 50 states who were only too happy to post signs as near the polling places as possible. Virtually all the polling places in the country had a sign posted near them in the days before the elections. Miss Fuzzbottom places her personal campaign expenses at about \$10.00--"My car insurance was due; I just couldn't afford any more. My Great Aunt Hortense spent the most. Why,

I'll just bet she spent close to \$35.00. I don't know how she'll ever manage next month, being on social security--mercy sakes."

While election experts have decided that the average voter just couldn't decide between the poor choices offered and out of desperation voted for the name he had seen least and knew least about, Miss Fuzzbottom is convinced that it shows widespread support for her platform--Federal funds for the development of a blue marigold and the preservation of the passenger pigeon, which she believes to be alive and in hiding.

The reactions of the losing candidates were mixed. Dick Nixon is reportedly saying he will never run for public office again. Hubert Humphrey has stated he will accept the office of Secretary of State if offered it. (All other cabinet posts are being filled by the ladies from Upper Applecore's Great Books Club.) George Wallace was said to be hopping a jet for Upper Applecore to convince Miss Fuzzbottom that she should become Mrs. Wallace.

Miss Fuzzbottom stated that her first act after inauguration would be to outlaw the sale of all squab in the entire country. She feels that she will have complete support for her measures. After all she received a plurality in most of the states.

EDITOR.....Howard Parkhurst

Staff

Dan Steen
Henry Schonschack
Karol Kesterke Dale Smith
Tom Townsend
Pat Walsh Mike Gingras
Ray Miller
Phil Tetlow Bob Pacholke

Fitzgerald is happy to report no pregnancies yet this semester. Not every dorm can make that claim.

YOU CAN'T LICK DICK TWICE--

AN ELECTION ANALYSIS FROM A FITZ "EXPERT"

With the Presidential elections only a few days away, it is my opinion that Mr. Nixon will receive 293 electoral votes (270 are needed to win). Vice-president Humphrey will receive 198, and Mr. Wallace 47. The popular vote will be closer than the electoral vote indicates, but with Nixon's base of western states plus the key states in the Mid West, he should easily capture the majority that is needed to put him in the White House. A brief rundown of the states will indicate my reasoning:

Alabama-10 votes-Wallace is a sure thing in his home state.

Alaska-3 votes-Nixon captured the state in 1960 and will easily do the same this year.

Arizona-5 votes-Goldwater running for the Senate will easily put this state into Nixon's column.

Arkansas-6 votes-Wallace taking a good share out of the solid Democratic vote will give this state to Nixon.

California-40 votes-With the Democratic Party still split, the state will go to Nixon.

Colorado-6 votes-Because of the state's basic Republican tendency, Nixon will wind up the victor.

Connecticut-8 votes-With Ribicoff's re-election campaign and the state's liberal Democratic tradition, Humphrey will win.

Delaware-3 votes-Wallace will take enough of the Democratic vote to give Nixon the state.

Florida-14 votes-A close race between Nixon and Wallace, but with the support of Gov. Kirk Florida will go for Nixon.

Georgia-12 votes-With Maddox campaigning for Wallace, the former governor will be able to capture the state.

Hawaii-4 votes-Sen. Daniel Inouye's election campaign will help Humphrey to win.

Idaho-4 votes-Nixon's the one!

Illinois-26 votes-With Wallace taking many Democratic votes in the Chicago area, Illinois will go to Nixon.

Indiana-13 votes-As in 1960, the state will go to Nixon.

Iowa-9 votes-Nixon will be able to win this state without any problems.

Kansas-7 votes-A typical Republican state--Nixon!

Kentucky-9 votes-Nixon will be able to beat out Wallace to gain the state.

Louisiana-10 votes-Because of Gov. McKeithen's refusal to back Humphrey, Wallace will take the state.

Maine-4 votes-With Muskie on the ticket, the state will go to Humphrey.

Maryland-10 votes-With Agnew on the ticket, the state will go to Nixon.

Massachusetts-14 votes-Because of Sen. Kennedy's endorsement of Humphrey, the Democrats will win.

Michigan-21 votes-It will be close between Humphrey and Nixon, but with a heavy city vote, the V.P. will win.

Minnesota-10 votes-Humphrey is in control of his state.

Mississippi-7 votes-No one but Wallace.

Missouri-12 votes-The Democrats are in good shape--Humphrey.

Montana-4 votes-No doubt about it-Nixon all the way!

Nebraska-5 votes-Probably the most Republican state. It will continue to be so.

Nevada-3 votes-Nixon will have few problems here.

New Hampshire -4 votes- Easterner Muskie will be able to carry the state for Humphrey.

New Jersey-17 votes-Governor Hughes will be able to take the state for Humphrey.

New Mexico-4 votes- Nixon will win with little difficulty.

New York-43 votes-Wallace will take enough conservative Republican votes to give Humphrey the win.

North Carolina-13 votes- A close race by all three candidates but Humphrey will win.

North Dakota-4 votes-Humphrey will be the surprise winner in this state.

Ohio-26 votes-Wallace will come on strong, but he will hurt the Democrats most. Nixon wins.

Oklahoma -8 votes- The Democratic Party is in tough shape, and Nixon will win.

Oregon-6 votes-A large number of Democrats are expected to vote against Wayne Morse. This will give the state to Nixon.

Pennsylvania-29 votes-Wallace will make heavy inroads into the larger cities, but Nixon will win with rural votes.

Rhode Island-4 votes-Gov. Chaffee running for re-election will help Nixon win the state.

South Carolina-8 votes-It will be a close race between Nixon and Wallace, but Wallace will pull it out in the end.

South Dakota-4 votes-With the aid of Sen. McGovern, the state will go to Humphrey.

Tennessee-11 votes- Nixon won in 1960 and with the help of Wallace will win again.

Texas-26 votes-Humphrey has been able to reunite the party. The state will go to him.

Utah-4 votes-Nixon will win with no problems.

Virginia -12 votes- Another close race by all three, but Humphrey will come out on top.

Vermont -3 votes- A traditionally Republican state--Nixon will win.

Washington-9 votes-Gov. Evans will swing the state to Nixon.

West Virginia-7 votes- With the Democrats hit by a scandal in the state, Nixon should have no problem.

Wisconsin-12 votes-Wallace will do well in Milwaukee, cutting down the Democratic vote. This will give the state to Nixon.

Wyoming-3 votes-A state that is a sure winner for Nixon.

District of Columbia -3 votes- The surest thing Humphrey has.

"The Republican Party is conceived as an elephant--rather old, rather dignified, a little slow, not perhaps terribly bright, but with a good deal of wisdom, hard working, full of integrity, rather conservative, a little isolated from the world around him, patient, thick-skinned, but capable of occasional inarticulate squeals of rage. The Democratic Party is thought of as a donkey--active, agile, clever, a little unsure of himself, a bit of an upstart, quick, sensitive, a little vulgar, and cheerfully absurd."

Kenneth E. Boulding

SPORTS.....RAY MILLER

GOLDENRODS ON THE RISE

The Goldenrods stopped Hamlin Three by a score of 14-13 for their third victory of the season. Trailing 13-6 late in the fourth quarter, Dan Draves ran for a T.D. and a two point conversion to clinch the win.

Bill Ravin provided the Rod's first T.D. by intercepting a pass and running it back for six points. The Goldenrods hope to even up their season's record by beating Pryale this Thursday.

APPLES FALTER

The Apples had their championship hopes smashed by Van Wagoner One. The Apples had an off day and were beaten 26-8. Bad breaks and little mistakes kept the Apples in trouble the whole time as Van Wagoner took advantage. In their next game, the Apples managed only a 0-0 tie with Hamlin Two. The Apples record is now 4-2-1.

HH

THE APPLE CART

Shaw's Apple Cart can be considered either a character study or a political comedy. And for reasons not unknown to us, the Fernald performance stresses the political comedy (Those reasons are the democratic-type elections coming up this Tuesday, remember?).

The character study, however, is not entirely dropped (Shaw himself makes sure of that.). If you keep your eyes open you can see that within the first part of the act, King Magnus is able to subtly control the new cabinet member, Bill Boanergas (Eric Berry), leading him to believe that he controls the king. We quickly see that he is also able to do this with his whole cabinet, even though it is pointed out time and time again that he is a constitutional monarch, and hence a supposed figurehead. Our playwright stresses this to the Nth degree in Act I. Other facets of the king's character are shown in the Interlude, when we meet his mistress, and in Act II with the entrance of his wife.

But, like I said, the Fernald performance stresses the political comedy. Shaw's subtle humor comes through a la plenty in the First Act. Happy I am to report that Director Richard Curnock lets most of this political sarcasm show through. Shaw presents us with the observation that the democratic process is only nominal, living off a capitalistic economy. With this in mind, we can see the beautifully funny situation of the whole play. Here is a cabinet, who has the said leadership of the country, trying to get back its power from a figurehead king and losing.

The two adversaries, the king and the Prime Minister, are portrayed as elderly men. And the Fernald production meaningfully contrasts them. King Magnus (and family) wear the newest style clothes, while the Prime Minister (and cabinet members) dress in

old business suits. Many meanings are suggested by this portrayal; none the least is that a real power controller is more open minded and receptive to change. This may seem a strange interpretation, but I suggest you form your own when you see the play.

With this first production of the season, we are introduced to the almost completely new Fernald Company. If you see this play, please try to tolerate the acting of the smaller characters. There is a good base of important players to carry the interpretation. --A body-movement class would help many.

And the Masi stage and costumer were brilliant as usual.

Students from Anibal and Pryale (and others too) who feel the urge, are welcome to contribute to Fitz Bitz. If you wish to contribute, call extension 2428.

ATTENTION

STUDENTS!

WOMEN

By

Karol Kesterke 103 Anibal

Have you gained a pound or two (or three or four)? Are you studying more now and enjoying it less?

If either one of these applies to you, I have just the answer to help solve your problem. Come over to the gym. Or, even if you haven't gained a pound or two, or if you are enjoying your studies immensely, come over to the gym anyway. Organized basketball for women has started.

We are meeting on Monday and Thursday nights: from 6:15 to 8:00 and on Tuesday afternoons from 1:00 to 3:00. It is good exercise no matter what your condition is, and I'm sure that all who have come so far have enjoyed themselves. But the only way you can find out is to come and join us. No experience is necessary. All you need is enough interest to carry you as far as the gym. Coach Boldon and Coach Scovil will take it from there.

See you at the gym!!

EPISTOLARY
INTERCOURSE

Dear Editor:

It is my opinion that the Traffic Appeals Board as it is now organized is practically useless. Most students think the T.A.B. has the power of a judge over the tickets issued by the Public Safety but they don't. A judge has the power to interpret the law as he considers proper and takes into consideration extenuating circumstances. As the powers of the board now stand the board only has the power to dismiss a ticket if it is improperly filled out or if very extenuating circumstances like, and I quote "someone breaks a leg and is unable to move their car to a proper parking area."

The T.A.B. powers are set up very loosely in the Parking Commission minutes and the members are not forbidden to take some authority upon themselves. They seem to feel, however, that they are required to follow the precedents set by the previous T.A.B.'s and not take any authority upon themselves.

One example of this is the recent misunderstanding concerning the use of the Parking Lot behind the IM Building. While the T.A.B. agrees with most students that the lot designation is improper and should be changed, they will not take the authority to dismiss the tickets given out to residents for parking there.

Dan Steen
11238

continued from page 1

After the preliminaries, our parents will be treated to a wonderful lunch in the plush banquet room of the Vandenburg Inn. Following lunch, all of the dorms will be open for inspection, and the parents will have a chance to see their own son or daughter. In case of difficulty, introductions will be made by the Head Resident.

T.A.B. continued from page 1
the charge of the TAB. The policy of the board is not stated anywhere and is generally left to the members of the TAB. The members of this semesters TAB have taken it upon themselves to read the appeals and judge them in accordance with the parking regulations. We do not feel it to be our position to overrule the regulations of the Parking Commission. Therefore, we simply enforce these regulations unless the violator was plagued by extenuating circumstances, which do not occur frequently.

This does not mean, however, that the members of the TAB always agree with the regulations. One example is the designation of parking lot O behind the IM Building. All four voting members of the TAB feel that this designation is unfair, and we intend to raise this point at the next meeting of the Parking Commission. Although we feel that the designation is unfair, we must uphold these rules as they are at present and deny the appeals of those dorm students who parked illegally in lot O at the beginning of the semester.

Most of these appeals are actually quite ridiculous and simply delay the paying of the fine. Those that are serious are considered carefully before voted on. We also note technical points and loopholes which are vague so that we may bring these points to the attention of the Parking Commission. The TAB is keeping records of all appeals so that it may be consistent in its judgements.

The present TAB is working to make itself a more clearly outlined board with definite procedures to follow.

A short time ago a resident of Fitz tried to tell Fitz Bitz that scientists have proven that a "high" will follow the consumption of a frog skin that has been allowed to sit under a rock for three weeks. So make sure you are served only the freshest of frog skins. Stale skins will probably cause psychological dependence.