Influence of Peer Feedback on the Relations Between Communication, Coordination, and Performance in Global Virtual Teams

Matthew J. W. McLarnon, Denise Law, Thomas A. O’Neill, Vasyl Taras, Magda B. L. Donia, & Piers Steel

*Oakland University; †University of Waterloo; ‡University of Calgary; ††University of North Carolina-Greensboro; ‡‡University of Ottawa

BACKGROUND

Use of global virtual teams (GVTs) is increasing, however they are often faced with numerous challenges and coordination difficulties. We proposed an indirect relation between communication frequency and GVT performance, as mediated by process coordination.

Research on peer feedback suggests that it might address some of the challenges facing GVTs. Using a cluster randomized quasi-experimental design, we varied the degree and detail offered by a peer feedback system to investigate moderation of this indirect effect. Together, we hypothesized:

1) Process coordination will be positively related to GVT performance
2) Frequency of communication will be positively related to process coordination
3) Process coordination will mediate the relation between communication frequency and GVT performance
4) Peer feedback will moderate the strength of the indirect effect between communication frequency and performance, as mediated by process coordination

METHOD

Sample. We used data from 13,224 individuals, who were members of 1,839 GVTs, sampled through the X-Culture.org Project. X-Culture is an international business case competition involving business students from more than 100 universities in 40 different countries.

Peer Feedback Conditions. Condition 1 (Baseline): Peer feedback only obtained and distributed at project completion. Condition 2 (Exposure): Peer feedback obtained weekly, but not distributed until project end. Condition 3 (Distribution): Peer feedback obtained and distributed weekly.

Measures. Communication Frequency: Number of times media like email, Skype, GoogleDocs, Facebook, phone, and instant messenger were used. Process Coordination: Communication smoothness, workflow integration, project planning, and role clarity rated on a 1 (“No problem”) to 5 (“Big problem”) scale. Performance. Quality of report detailing GVT’s proposed solution to business case.

Analyses. Focal analytical model was a multi-group, multilevel moderated mediation model. Figure 1 presents model.

- Hypothesis 1: Process coordination → GVT performance
  \[ a_b = .57, p < .01 \] : \( H_1 \) supported
- Hypothesis 2: Communication frequency → process coordination
  \[ b_b = .10, p < .13 \] : \( H_2 \) not supported
- Hypothesis 3: mediation effect
  \[ a_b \times b_b = .06, 95\% \text{ Monte Carlo confidence interval} = -.02 – .14 \] : \( H_3 \) not supported
- Hypothesis 4: moderated mediation
  Baseline vs. Exposure = \( a_b \times b_b = .05, 95\% \text{ MCCI} = -.02 – .12 \)
  Baseline vs. Distribution = \( a_b \times b_b = .43, 95\% \text{ MCCI} = .12 – .81 \)
  Distribution vs. Exposure = \( a_b \times b_b = .38, 95\% \text{ MCCI} = .06 – .77 \) : \( H_4 \) supported

These findings offer unique insight into the intrateam processes involved with GVT performance

Stronger relations between communication frequency, process coordination, and performance were found in the Distribution condition

Increasing communication to improve coordination may only occur when peer feedback is given and received

Process coordination transmits the influence of communication frequency on performance to a significantly stronger degree when peer feedback is used regularly

To reduce optimize GVT performance, organizations should use a peer feedback system that requests and distributes feedback at regular intervals throughout project completion