

Archives
LD
4142
.03418

Minutes of the Meeting
of the
Oakland University Board of Trustees
March 11, 1987

Present: Chairman David Handleman, Trustees Donald Bemis,
Larry Chunovich, Patricia Hartmann, Phyllis Law
Googasian, Ken Morris, and Howard Sims

Absent: Trustee Stephan Sharf

Chairman Handleman called the meeting to order at 5:02 p.m. in
Lounge II of the Oakland Center.

Appointment of New Board Members

Chairman Handleman stated that on March 10, 1987, Governor James
J. Blanchard appointed two new Board members, Mr. Larry Chunovich
who was present, and Mr. Stephan Sharf, who was out of state and
could not attend the Board meeting. Chairman Handleman stated
that Mr. Chunovich was replacing Mr. Wallace D. Riley and Mr.
Sharf was replacing Mr. Alex C. Mair. Chairman Handleman then
introduced Mr. Chunovich by stating the following:

Mr. Larry Chunovich is currently the president of the
Michigan Education Association and has served as president
since 1983. He has his undergraduate degree from Central
Michigan University and his Master's degree from Wayne State
University. He was formerly a department chair and a
mathematics teacher in the Southfield school system and
received the "Teacher-of-the-Year" Award while serving at
Southfield High School. He has also received the Michigan
Education Association's Connie Jackson Award for human rights.

Mr. Chunovich is an officer at large with the Michigan
Democratic State Central Committee, a member of the Gover-
nor's Job Training Council, and a past chairperson of Delta
Dental of Michigan. He resides in East Lansing with his
wife, Keitha, and two daughters.

We are most pleased to have Mr. Chunovich join us as a member
of the Board of Trustees.

Chairman Handleman stated that he wished to enter into the record
some background information on Mr. Stephan Sharf:

Mr. Sharf was formerly Vice President - Manufacturing for
Chrysler Corporation and also formerly served as a member of
the Board of Directors of that Corporation. He had a dis-
tinguished career with Chrysler Corporation from 1958 to 1985
and prior to that time was employed at Ford Motor Company in
various management positions. He is currently President of
SICA Corporation and Chairman of Industrial Development
Systems.

Mr. Sharf is very familiar with the University having served for many years on the Meadow Brook Music Festival Executive Committee.

Mr. Sharf and his wife, Rita, reside in Bloomfield Hills.

Chairman Handleman noted that the Board members are pleased to have Mr. Sharf join them.

Chairman Handleman stated that Mr. Mair and Mr. Riley served "very competently" during their terms on the Board and were very responsive to the needs of the University. He added that the Trustees enjoyed their relationship with Messrs. Mair and Riley.

Approval of the Minutes of the Meeting of February 11, 1987

Chairman Handleman asked for any additions or corrections to the proposed minutes for the meeting of February 11, 1987.

Trustee Morris, seconded by Trustee Googasian, moved to approve the minutes as proposed. The motion was unanimously carried.

Preliminary Report on Issues Relating to Single Source Bidding in High Temperature Water Line Contract

Mr. Robert McGarry, Vice President for Finance and Administration and Treasurer to the Board of Trustees, stated that the Board has been presented with a report from Mr. William Small, former Contracting Officer and Assistant Vice President of Administration and Risk Management, and a report from Migdal, Layne, and Sachs, the consulting engineer on the high temperature water line project. He stated that Mr. Small's report verifies that the processes followed in product selection and project bidding were appropriate. The consultant's report evaluated the various conduit options and concluded that the choice made by the University was "appropriate."

Chairman Handleman stated that the Board will accept the report.

Trustee Bemis questioned whether the representatives from Rovanco, who raised questions regarding the contract at the February 11, 1987, meeting, would be informed of the contents of the reports.

Mr. McGarry stated that he is providing Rovanco representatives with a copy of the Migdal, Layne, and Sachs report, and he has asked for Rovanco's input on future similar projects.

Recommendation to Accept Gifts and Grants to Oakland University

Mr. David Rodwell, Vice President for External Affairs, stated that the list for December 21, 1986, through January 16, 1987, totaling \$770,992.05, represented one of the largest gift-giving periods to date. He added that the University is extremely grateful to the friends of the institution for their support.

Mr. Rodwell stated that there was a correction to the report as presented. The "faculty, through a great initiative to honor the late Mr. William G. Hammerle, Professor of Engineering and former President of the AAUP, has developed a Hammerle Endowment Fund." The gift income to the fund is noted on page 9. All of the gifts listed under the School of Engineering on page 18 were also made to the Hammerle Endowment Fund which were placed in a holding account in the School of Engineering until the endowment fund was established. In addition, the gifts listed in the Alumni Fund from Ms. Eileen Bantel, Mr. Bhushan Bhatt, Mr. Robert Judd, and Mr. Ken Milne are also for the Hammerle Endowment Fund. All of the contributions will be consolidated in one account. Mr. Rodwell stated that he was most pleased with the results of this faculty initiative in the memory of a fine professor.

Trustee Morris, seconded by Trustee Sims, moved to accept the gifts and grants, with appreciation. The motion was unanimously carried.

Information Report on Gifts and Grants to Oakland University Foundation

Mr. Robert Swanson, Vice President for Developmental Affairs, stated that the gifts and grants to the Oakland University Foundation totaled \$413,381.27.

Chairman Handleman stated that the Board accepted the gifts and grants report with gratitude.

Faculty Personnel Actions

Mr. Keith Kleckner, Senior Vice President for University Affairs and Provost, made the following recommendation:

Appointment

Xu, Chao-yin, Adjunct Professor of Mathematical Sciences, effective January 1, 1987, through August 14, 1987

Change of Status

Gordon, Sheldon R., from Assistant Professor of Biological Sciences to Assistant Professor of Biological Sciences and Adjunct Assistant Professor of Biomedical Sciences, effective August 15, 1987

Wedekind, Gilbert L., from Professor of Engineering and Acting Dean, School of Engineering and Computer Science to Professor of Engineering, effective January 1, 1987

Leave of Absence

Schmidt, Parbury P., Professor of Chemistry, sabbatical leave from August 25, 1987, through December 18, 1987

Thomas, S. Barnard, Professor of History, sabbatical leave from August 25, 1987, through December 18, 1987

Resignation

Maor, Eli J., Associate Professor of Mathematical Sciences, effective August 14, 1988

Trustee Bemis, seconded by Trustee Morris, moved to accept the recommendation. The motion was unanimously carried.

Faculty Personnel Actions - Promotions to Full Professor

Mr. Kleckner made the following recommendation:

RESOLVED, That the Board of Trustees approves the following personnel actions formulated by the Provost with the advice of the deans and faculty colleague review bodies, in accordance with the specified review process.

Associate Professors with tenure considered for promotion to the rank of professor, effective August 15, 1987:

Arts and Sciences

James W. Dow	Sociology/ <u>Anthropology</u>	Promote
Robert J. Goldstein	Political Science	Promote
Ranald D. Hansen	Psychology	Promote
Algea O. Harrison	Psychology	Promote

Fred W. Hetzel	Physics	Promote
Joseph A. Klaitz	History	Promote
Virinder K. Moudgil	Biological Sciences	Promote
Asish C. Nag	Biological Sciences	Promote
Keith E. Stanovich	Psychology	Promote
Sze-Kai Tsui	Mathematical Sciences	Promote

Business Administration

David P. Doane	Economics/Management	Promote
----------------	----------------------	---------

Human and Educational Services

Ronald M. Swartz		Promote
------------------	--	---------

Library

Janet A. Krompart		Promote
-------------------	--	---------

Trustee Hartmann, seconded by Trustee Morris, moved to accept the recommendation. The motion was unanimously carried.

Chairman Handleman stated that the Board congratulates the new professors.

Raising Credit Requirements for the Bachelor of Science Degree in Computer Science

Mr. Kleckner stated that computer science is a field which has grown considerably since the implementation of the Bachelor of Science degree program in 1975. The number of required courses in this field tends to be increasing nationally as a result of accreditation standards. The current curriculum requirements leave little room for academic exploration in the form of electives. This limitation is educationally undesirable. The proposed solution is to raise minimal credit requirements for the baccalaureate degree from the current 124 credits to 128 credits, the added four credits to be left to student choice. He added that a study of the computer science graduates of April, June, and August, 1986, indicates that only 9 percent of those graduates completed the program with the minimally prescribed 124 credits. Nearly all computer science majors already take more than the minimal number of credits. He stated that a 128 credit requirement for the degree reflects what most students are presently taking at the University.

Mr. Kleckner made the following recommendation:

RESOLVED, That the Board of Trustees authorizes the raising of the minimum total credits required for the Bachelor of Science Degree in Computer Science from 124 to 128.

Mr. Kleckner then introduced Mr. Robert Desmond, Dean of the School of Engineering and Computer Science, to answer any Board questions on the recommendation.

Trustee Hartmann asked if this would affect students graduating now or only those entering the program.

Mr. Kleckner stated that this credit requirement change would affect only those students entering the program in the fall of 1987 and later.

Trustee Sims, seconded by Trustee Googasian, moved to approve the recommendation. The motion was unanimously carried.

Ratification of Revised Constitution of the School of Nursing

Mr. Kleckner stated that the faculty of each major academic unit has an academic governance structure defined by a constitution. Constitutions are drafted by unit faculty, approved by the Academic Senate and take effect when ratified by the Board of Trustees. Amendments to constitutions are processed in the same fashion. He added that the faculty of the School of Nursing has made numerous revisions to its existing constitution. The principal items added to the constitution are Article IV, Sections xiv, xvii, and xviii. There are also numerous minor revisions because of "format alterations made to more closely parallel the Constitution of the University Senate."

Mr. Kleckner then made the following recommendation:

RESOLVED, That the Board of Trustees ratifies the revised Constitution of the School of Nursing.

Trustee Hartmann, seconded by Trustee Morris, moved to approve the recommendation. The motion was unanimously carried.

The Constitution as approved reads as follows:

SCHOOL OF NURSING CONSTITUTION

ARTICLE I

- i. The Oakland University School of Nursing shall be organized and shall function as provided herein.

ARTICLE II

ADMINISTRATION

- i. The Dean is the principal academic and administrative officer of the Faculty and the School of Nursing.
 1. The Dean of the Faculty and School of Nursing shall be a tenured member of the Faculty of Nursing.
 2. The Dean shall be appointed by the Board of Trustees after consideration of the recommendation of the President and Provost. Before recommending the initial appointment of a Dean, the President, or Provost if designated, shall consult with the Nursing Committee on Appointment and Promotion (NCAP). At the President's discretion, the Dean's appointment may be reviewed with all members of the Faculty of Nursing at any time, but should be reviewed at least once every five years.
- ii. With the advice of the Faculty Assembly of the School of Nursing, the Dean shall propose to the Provost and President any and all administrative or instructional units within the School.

ARTICLE III

THE FACULTY ASSEMBLY: POWERS AND RESPONSIBILITIES

The Faculty Assembly of the School of Nursing shall exercise all powers assigned to the organized faculty of the School of Nursing by the Constitution of the University Senate. In addition, the Faculty Assembly shall:

- i. advise the Dean in all matters the Dean wishes to bring before it.
- ii. have the right to initiate proposals on all matters relevant to the general welfare of the School of Nursing.
- iii. have the right to be consulted on all matters of academic importance to the School of Nursing.
- iv. take such actions as are necessary to exercise responsibilities stated elsewhere in this Constitution.

ARTICLE IV

THE FACULTY ASSEMBLY: MEMBERSHIP AND ORGANIZATION

- i. The Faculty Assembly shall be composed of:
 1. all members of the Faculty of Nursing holding regular appointments as Professors, Associate Professors, Assistant Professors and Instructors.
 2. all Special Instructors, Lecturers, Visiting Faculty.
- ii. All members of the Faculty Assembly shall have full voting rights and shall be eligible to serve on committees, except as committee membership may be restricted by stipulation in this Constitution or by actions of the Faculty Assembly.
- iii. During the fall and winter semesters the Assembly shall meet at the call of the Executive Committee. Such meetings shall be held at least once during each of the fall and winter semesters. The Executive Committee must call a meeting within thirty days of the receipt of a written request for a meeting signed by twenty percent of the Assembly members.
- iv. Meetings of the Assembly, at all times other than during the fall and winter semester, shall be called by the Dean. At such meetings the agenda of such meetings shall be limited to the nomination of candidates for degrees and conferring of School honors, unless, by action of the Faculty Assembly during the preceding winter semester, specific additional items are authorized for the agenda.
- v. The presiding officer of the Faculty Assembly shall be the Dean or in the Dean's absence, a designated representative. The Dean shall appoint a secretary of the Faculty Assembly and a parliamentarian.
- vi. Forty percent of those members of the Assembly not on leave, shall constitute a quorum, except that this quorum rule shall be suspended for those meetings stipulated under Article IV, iv. of this Constitution.
- vii. All substantive motions, together with relevant committee recommendations and reports, shall be circulated to all members of the Assembly before a motion receives

its first reading in the Faculty Assembly. Each substantive motion shall receive readings on two separate meeting days of the Assembly. Amendments may be moved during both readings, but final votes may be taken only during the second reading. By a vote of three-fourths of the members present and voting, the Faculty Assembly may proceed directly from the first reading to the second reading and take final action on the motion. At the request of more than one-fourth of the members present and voting, at least one hearing open to faculty, staff and students shall be held before the second reading of any substantive motion.

- viii. Motions and resolutions shall be handled in accordance with the current Roberts' Rules of Order.
- ix. The Faculty Assembly shall have an Executive Committee composed of the Dean of the School of Nursing (or designee) who shall serve as chairperson, and four other members elected at the last Faculty Assembly meeting of the winter semester. Members shall be elected to staggered two-year terms.
- x. The Executive Committee shall:
 - 1. call all meetings of the Assembly in the fall and winter semesters;
 - 2. prepare the agenda for the Assembly, including the call of all matters from committees;
 - 3. present to the Assembly a slate of nominees for the chairpersonship and membership of all other standing committees;
 - 4. create such ad hoc committees as it judges necessary and designate the membership and fix the terms of such committees;
 - 5. refer measures to the standing and ad hoc committees;
 - 6. receive reports and recommendations from committees to be placed on the agenda of the Assembly, with authority to request one reconsideration; after reconsideration by the committee, a second recommendation from the committee must be placed on a subsequent Assembly agenda;

7. have authority to originate substantive motions for consideration by the Assembly;
8. appoint replacements for vacated seats on all standing and ad hoc committees of the Assembly; all such replacements shall serve until the next regular election;
9. transmit to the University Senate such matters as concern it; and receive communications from the University Senate;
10. advise the Dean on all matters the Dean wishes to bring before it; place before the Dean such matters as it deems necessary.

xi. The Faculty Assembly shall have an Undergraduate Committee on Instruction composed of the Dean of the School of Nursing, all School of Nursing academic coordinators and directors, three members elected at-large from the Faculty Assembly, and one student representative selected by the Oakland University Student Nurses' Association. Members at-large shall be elected to staggered two-year terms at the last Faculty Assembly meeting of the winter semester.

xii. The Undergraduate Committee on Instruction shall:

1. consider and advise the Assembly on all curricular and instructional issues affecting undergraduate programs;
2. review policies on admission, progression, retention, dismissal, and graduation;
3. rule on petitions of exception and requests for grade changes;
4. advise the Dean on any matters of academic concern to the undergraduate program.

xiii. The Faculty Assembly shall have a Graduate Committee on Instruction, composed of the Dean of the School of Nursing, School of Nursing academic directors, two members elected at-large from program faculty and one student representative selected by the graduate student body. Members at-large shall be elected to staggered two-year terms at the last Faculty Assembly meeting of the winter semester.

- xiv. The Graduate Committee on Instruction shall:
1. consider and advise the Assembly on all curricular and instructional issues affecting graduate programs;
 2. review policies on admission, progression, retention, dismissal and graduation;
 3. make recommendations to the Dean of Graduate Study on petitions of exception;
 4. advise the Dean on any matters of academic concern to the graduate program.
- xv. The Faculty Assembly shall have a Nursing Committee on Appointment and Promotion composed of four tenured and one non-tenured member of the Organized Faculty of the School of Nursing and the Dean (ex-officio and non-voting). Should the faculty member filling the non-tenured seat receive notification that tenure will be awarded, then the seat shall be declared vacant at the beginning of the fall semester succeeding notification and an election to fill the seat for the remainder of the term shall be held at this time.

Until such time as there are sufficient tenured Nursing faculty available for election, the Assembly shall elect tenured members from other Organized Faculties in the University for service on the Committee. Terms of office shall be staggered and continue for three years. Elections shall be held in the winter semester for service in the subsequent academic year. Those faculty members eligible to vote for members of this committee shall hold the ranks of Special Instructor, Instructor, Assistant Professor, Associate Professor and Professor, not including visiting or adjunct ranks. The chairperson and secretary shall be elected by and from the voting members of the NCAP for a term of one year.

- xvi. The Nursing Committee on Appointment and Promotion shall:
1. be informed of all appointments to the Faculty of Nursing.
 2. advise the Dean on all recommendations for initial appointment with tenure, reappointment, promotion and tenure of full-time faculty in the School of Nursing.

3. advise the Dean on appointment, promotion and tenure procedures within the School of Nursing and recommend to the Faculty Assembly changes in such procedures.
4. advise FRPC on all appropriate personnel actions.

xvii. The Faculty Assembly shall have an Advisory Committee on Appointment and Promotion until such time as there are tenured nursing faculty elected to all tenured positions on the NCAP. The Advisory CAP shall be composed of four elected members from among School of Nursing faculty appointed to tenure-track positions and currently not serving on the NCAP. Members shall serve for staggered three-year terms. The chairperson shall be elected by and from the voting members of the Advisory CAP for a term of one year.

xviii. The Advisory CAP shall:

1. be informed of all appointments to the Faculty of Nursing.
2. advise the Dean and the NCAP on all recommendations for reappointment, promotion and tenure of full-time faculty in the School of Nursing.
3. advise the Dean and the NCAP on appointment, promotion and initial appointment with tenure, tenure procedures within the School of Nursing and recommend to the Faculty Assembly changes in such procedures.
4. assist faculty in preparation of their dossiers for reappointment, promotion and tenure reviews.

ARTICLE V

AMENDMENT TO THE SCHOOL OF NURSING CONSTITUTION

- i. Amendments to this Constitution shall originate as substantive motions of the Faculty Assembly, except that an affirmative final vote on the second reading must be ratified by a simple majority of the members of the Assembly in a mail referendum and be approved by the University Senate and the Board of Trustees. An amendment shall be effective following approval by the Board of Trustees.

ARTICLE VI

REVISION OF THE SCHOOL OF NURSING CONSTITUTION

- i. This Constitution shall remain in effect until superseded by a revised Constitution ratified by the Assembly and approved by the University Senate and the Board of Trustees.
- ii. Within five years of the adoption of this Constitution, a Constitutional Committee shall be convened by the Executive Committee to consider the need for revisions in this Constitution and to present such needed revisions to the Faculty Assembly for consideration and adoption.

Residence Halls Rates

Ms. Wilma Ray Bledsoe, Vice President for Student Affairs, stated that "fairly moderate increases" were being recommended for the room and board rates for 1987-1988 fiscal year. Certain expenses such as insurance and the replacement of hot water lines require a rate increase which averages 4.3 percent for the residence halls and 4.8 percent for the Matthews Court Apartments.

Ms. Ray Bledsoe made the following recommendation:

RESOLVED, That the Board of Trustees approve the following new Residence Halls rates:

1. Regular academic year current Room and Board, 19-Meal Plan is \$2,755. The recommended increase is \$118. The total rate for the 1987-88 academic year would be \$2,873.
2. Regular academic year current Room and Board, 14-Meal Plan is \$2,640. The recommended increase is \$113. The total rate for the 1987-88 academic year would be \$2,753.
3. Regular academic year current Room and Board, 9-Meal Plan is \$2,560. The recommended increase is \$110. The total rate for the 1987-88 academic year would be \$2,670.
4. Regular academic year current Room Only is \$1,746. The recommended increase is \$75. The total rate for the 1987-1988 academic year would be \$1,821.

5. Current summer session Room and Board is \$699. The recommended increase is \$30. The total rate for summer 1987 would be \$729.

6. Current spring session Room and Board is \$624. The recommended increase is \$27. The total rate for spring 1988 would be \$651.

7. Current summer session Room Only is \$422. The recommended increase is \$18. The total rate for summer 1987 would be \$440.

8. Current spring session Room Only is \$437. The recommended increase is \$19. The total rate for Spring 1988 would be \$456.

9. Current Matthews Court Apartment monthly rent is \$310. The recommended increase is \$15. The total monthly apartment rent would be \$325.

Trustee Googasian, seconded by Trustee Hartmann, moved the approval of the recommendation. The motion was unanimously carried.

Security Transactions

Mr. McGarry stated that the report submitted to the Board sets forth gifts of securities received since the February 11, 1987, meeting of the Board. He stated that the University realized \$3,222.13 on the sale of the securities.

Chairman Handleman thanked Mr. McGarry for his report.

Selection of Brokerage Firms

Mr. McGarry stated that at the January 12, 1987, meeting there was a Security Transactions report which reflected a small volume, odd lot security transaction with high brokerage fees. The Trustees requested that the administration investigate the possibility of using a discount broker in an effort to save money. He stated that the administration was able to negotiate a discounted fee with Dean Witter Reynolds. He added that Dean Witter Reynolds would be used for those transactions in which its fee would be lower.

Mr. McGarry made the following recommendation:

RESOLVED, That the President or Vice President for Finance and Administration is granted the authority to establish a brokerage account with Dean Witter Reynolds, Inc.; and be it further

RESOLVED, That such account will be limited to the sale of securities and all sales shall be in compliance with the previously established Board of Trustees policy relative to the sale of securities; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Vice President for Finance and Administration, or in the Vice President's absence, the President, hereby is authorized from time to time for and on behalf of the said Oakland University, a public corporation, to:

1. Execute the Dean Witter Charitable Organization Agreement, a copy of which is presented at this meeting of the Board of Trustees.
2. Sign any certificate, instruments or stock powers, and deliver, transfer, sell, receipt for or negotiate securities owned by this corporation in connection with sales under said Agreement.
3. Transmit sell orders and otherwise take any action or make any authorization or give any communication as contemplated or authorized by said Agreement.

Trustee Hartmann, seconded by Trustee Sims, moved to approve the recommendation. The motion was unanimously carried.

Removal and Replacement of Roof - North Foundation Hall

Mr. McGarry stated that the roof on North Foundation Hall is in need of repair. The State has accepted Oakland's request to fund a project for roof removal and replacement. The administration requests the Board's authority to obtain bids.

Mr. McGarry made the following recommendation:

RESOLVED, That the Vice President for Finance and Administration is authorized to obtain bids for the removal and replacement of the roof at North Foundation Hall, to include the removal of skylights; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the total project cost shall not exceed the amount of funds appropriated for this project.

Trustee Bemis asked the necessity of the second resolution. Mr. McGarry stated that it was included to assure that the proposed project budget would not be exceeded. He added that the administration would bring the recommended bid to the Board for final approval. He added that bids would be requested for a "10 year life and a 20 year life."

Trustee Googasian, seconded by Trustee Sims, moved the approval of the recommendation. The motion was unanimously carried.

Recommendation on Use of State Agency for Construction of Kresge Library Addition

Mr. McGarry stated that the Michigan Department of Management and Budget, Office of Facilities, has managed the preliminary design phase of the Kresge Library addition project. The University wishes to designate the State as its agent for the completion of the project. He added that the University architectural staff is already "over-burdened" and cannot supervise the project as originally recommended. He stated that with the proper liaison with State representatives, the University would not be disadvantaged if the State coordinated the project.

Mr. McGarry made the following recommendation:

RESOLVED, That the Board of Trustees authorizes the State of Michigan through the Office of Facilities, Department of Management and Budget, to manage the Kresge Library Addition project from preliminary design stage to completion; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the project cannot exceed the legislatively approved budget of \$9,000,000 without approval of the Board of Trustees.

Trustee Sims asked if there was any need for the Board to rescind previous Board action.

Mr. John De Carlo, Vice President for Governmental Affairs, Secretary to the Board of Trustees, and General Counsel, stated that it would clarify an inconsistency if the recommendation were to read as follows:

WHEREAS, the Board of Trustees, at its meeting of February 11, 1987, approved the preliminary design for the Kresge Library addition for submission to the State of Michigan and authorized the University to negotiate with Rossetti Associates for continued architectural services including the construction phase; and

WHEREAS, the University has determined that the services of the Office of Facilities of the State Department of Management and Budget should negotiate a contract with the architect and should administer the Kresge Library project on behalf of the University; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the previous Board of Trustees action taken on February 11, 1987, providing for a contract between the architect and the institution is hereby rescinded; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Board of Trustees authorizes the State of Michigan through the Office of Facilities, Department of Management and Budget, to manage the Kresge Library Addition project from preliminary design stage to completion; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the project cannot exceed the legislatively approved budget of \$9,000,000 without approval of the Board of Trustees.

Trustee Sims, seconded by Trustee Morris, moved approval of the recommendation as amended. The motion was unanimously carried.

Recommendation on Campus Master Plan Update

President Joseph E. Champagne stated that in 1971 the University employed Johnson, Johnson, and Roy to develop a set of guidelines for the campus master plan. With all of the development and growth occurring on University property, it has been determined that the master plan should be updated. The Board of Trustees authorized the University Affairs Committee to begin a process for the selection of a planning consultant to update the master plan. Four firms submitted proposals and were interviewed. It was determined that Johnson, Johnson, and Roy, which is a large firm with extensive national experience in preparing campus master plans, should be retained by the University.

President Champagne made the following recommendation:

RESOLVED, That the administration is authorized to enter into an agreement with Johnson, Johnson, and Roy to update the Oakland University campus master plan; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the agreement will conform to conditions set forth in the communication dated March 11, 1987, to the University Affairs Committee in respect to costs, compliance with University policies, and equal employment opportunity provisions.

Trustee Sims, seconded by Trustee Morris, moved approval of the recommendation. The motion was unanimously carried.

Recommendation on the Appointment of a Computer Consultant

President Champagne stated that the University is at a critical point in the development of its data processing capability for both academic and administrative computing needs. In order to engage in an orderly and well conceived course of action, it is necessary that the University develop a comprehensive information services plan which focuses on current and future computing needs. In addition, organizational needs must be assessed to achieve a viable, cost effective, and responsive data processing and information services environment.

The University has been engaged in a number of analyses and reviews in recent months. It is now in a position to implement the development of a comprehensive plan for its future in data processing and at the same time meet critical staff and program needs. Electronic Data Systems, Inc. (EDS) and the University have been engaged in discussions as to how the University might best proceed to evaluate its programs and plan for the future.

As an outgrowth of these discussions, President Champagne stated that he wished to present the following recommendation:

RESOLVED, That the Board of Trustees authorizes the administration to negotiate an agreement with Electronic Data Systems, Inc. (EDS) to provide consulting management services in information services to Oakland University; and be it further

RESOLVED, That one full-time consulting managing director of information services will be provided by EDS for up to one year; and be it further

RESOLVED, That additional staff will be provided by EDS to develop a comprehensive computer service plan for the future based on current and anticipated needs; and be it further

RESOLVED, That EDS will provide other needed data processing staff services as may be needed during the period of the agreement and as may be agreed upon between the University and EDS; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the total cost of this planning and staff services agreement will not exceed \$225,000.

Trustee Morris, seconded by Trustee Hartmann, moved the approval of the recommendation. The motion was unanimously carried.

Trustee Morris stated that he believed that the proposal was an "excellent approach," since it provided the University with the opportunity to review its computer problems without committing a large amount of money. The University could take "one step at a time" and evaluate its needs in a considered manner.

Trustee Hartmann stated that she understood that Mr. William Moncrief of the Handleman Company assisted in assessing the proposal and recommended its acceptance.

Chairman Handleman stated that Mr. Moncrief was very pleased with the results.

President Champagne stated that he would like to publicly thank Chairman Handleman for Mr. Moncrief's assistance.

President Champagne stated that the project is part of a "good neighbor effort on the part of EDS." He added that EDS is very supportive of the University.

Trustee Bemis requested that the Board be sent copies of the campus master plan and EDS agreements after their execution.

President Champagne stated that copies of the agreements would be supplied to the Board.

The motion was voted on and unanimously carried.

Discussion of Squirrel Road Project by Oakland County Road Commission Representatives

President Champagne stated that for several months the University has been asking the Oakland County Road Commission (OCRC) to furnish specific plans for the proposed road construction program for Squirrel Road and University Drive. He noted that information is needed to permit the University to develop its position. Major issues relating to right-of-way acquisition and the

possible realignment of University roads must be reviewed. This problem will be one of the first projects undertaken by Johnson, Johnson, and Roy. President Champagne advised the Trustees that on "Thursday of last week" the University received plans from the OCRC regarding the development of University Drive from Opdyke Road to Squirrel Road and from Squirrel Road, north to Walton Boulevard. He stated that the road development issue is of critical importance to the convenience and safety of students, faculty, staff, and visitors to the campus.

President Champagne then introduced Mr. William Fognini, Deputy Managing Director, OCRC, and Mr. Gerald Holmberg, Director of Engineering, OCRC.

There was a five-minute recess at 5:45 p.m. in order for the OCRC representatives to post a number of visual presentation items. In general, these showed various alternatives to possible road reconfigurations adjacent to the northwest corner of the University campus. The only visual considered in any detail portrayed Squirrel Road as a four-lane boulevard running from Walton Boulevard south to the Auburn Hills City Hall. University Drive was shown as a boulevard from Squirrel Road to Opdyke Road. Of special note were two lanes for a direct left turn for eastbound University Drive onto northbound Squirrel Road. This plan provided that East Pontiac Road would cease to be a through street.

Trustee Morris left the meeting at this time.

The meeting reconvened at 5:50 p.m.

Mr. Fognini stated that the OCRC originally planned to begin construction in July of this year. However, due to the fact that there have been escalating costs, and since several questions about funding for the project from the State and from the TIFA district serving the Oakland Technology Park have not been resolved, the project has been "suspended." In addition, since the TIFA funds are subject to an action before the Michigan Supreme Court, Mr. Fognini stated that he is not sure that construction would start this year.

Mr. Fognini stated that he was present to discuss the specific impact on the University by the construction of the roads, but there was still "a long way to go" in the acquisition of right-of-way. In his opinion, due to the lack of funding, the way to complete the roads in a timely fashion would be to request that right-of-way be dedicated at no cost by surrounding property owners. He added that requesting the dedication of the right-of-way has caused some difficulties.

Mr. Fognini stated that he has been involved with Oakland for a long time and has always had excellent cooperation from the University. He added that when the OCRC needed a road improvement, the University was always very cooperative and generous in providing the right-of-way.

Mr. Fognini stated that recently President Champagne and Auburn Hills Mayor Robert Grusnick met to discuss an alternate traffic plan. He added that the OCRC will meet with its engineering group to review this alternative. The OCRC will then meet with the President and the Mayor to discuss the recommendations.

Mr. Fognini stated that every effort would be made to maintain good landscaping around the campus, and any expenses incurred in the relocation of University buildings, such as the Purchasing and Risk Management trailers, would be paid for by the OCRC.

Mr. Holmberg then reviewed traffic movement in the proposed University Drive and Squirrel Road intersection. He stated that both University Drive and Squirrel Road would be made into boulevards. The proposed development would continue to allow a direct left turn from eastbound University Drive to northbound Squirrel Road. Southbound traffic making left turns from Squirrel Road into the campus would "pass the intersection on southbound Squirrel Road and loop around in a typical boulevard operation." The landscaped medians would be 52 feet wide and slope to a height of four feet.

Trustee Hartmann asked if traffic counts and studies have been made and if they are available. Mr. Holmberg stated that they have been done, but he did not have them at the meeting.

Trustee Hartmann stated that she observed traffic from 11:45 a.m. until noon prior to a meeting today on campus, and she counted 432 cars either traveling south on Squirrel Road and turning west on University Drive, or exiting the University and continuing west on University Drive. She also noted that 47 cars turned left from eastbound University Drive to northbound Squirrel Road. She stated that it appears that there are a considerable number of left-hand turns across an intersection with heavy traffic. She foresees a "bottleneck" with the proposed plan, especially in light of increased traffic from the Oakland Technology Park.

Mr. Holmberg stated that a dual left turn lane would be provided to handle the traffic in addition to traffic signals and signs. He added that the statistics Trustee Hartmann quoted were typical of higher volume intersections at peak hours.

Trustee Hartmann asked what would prevent people from becoming irritated with the left-hand turn and using the University traffic circle to complete their turn. Mr. Holmberg stated that a more likely alternative for motorists would be to make a right turn onto southbound Squirrel Road and then turn around in the loop to go northbound.

Trustee Googasian asked how the proposed Squirrel Road median compared to the current median on Walton Boulevard. Mr. Fognini stated that the median on Walton Boulevard is much wider. He added that if there are no turning lanes, the Squirrel Road median would be 52 feet wide; once a turning lane was added, the median would be 40 feet wide, leaving only a 20 foot radius to make a turn. The normal turning radius is 40 feet. Trucks would have to utilize the right-hand lane in order to complete turns.

Trustee Sims stated that if East Pontiac Road were widened and moved, the transition from East Pontiac Road to Walton Boulevard would result in a continuous road bending up East Pontiac Road from University Drive and then bending onto Walton Boulevard.

Mr. Fognini stated a concern that there would be a "five point" intersection if East Pontiac Road directly entered Walton Boulevard. The State Highway Department's unwillingness to build an I-75 interchange at Walton Boulevard would also create a problem at this intersection.

Trustee Hartmann questioned if in asking the University to dedicate 1800 x 140 feet of property on Squirrel Road, did the OCRC mean that the land should be "contributed"? She stated that according to her calculations this totaled six and one-half acres of University land. She asked if there would be more requests for dedication involving the work south of the University to Butler Road.

Mr. Fognini stated that more land would be involved in that area because of the greater distance of road.

Trustee Hartmann asked if a dedication of this property is also contemplated.

Mr. Fognini stated that the road is currently under the jurisdiction of the City of Auburn Hills. If Oakland County is to be involved in providing some advance funding for preliminary engineering work, the jurisdiction would have to be shifted to the OCRC. Those portions of Squirrel Road near Featherstone and Hamlin Roads have been turned over to the OCRC by resolution of the Auburn Hills City Council. He stated that the alignment of Squirrel Road south of the University entrance is "pretty much" controlled by the location of the existing Auburn Hills city facilities.

Mr. Fognini stated that the OCRC is requesting that the University dedicate or quit claim deed a right-of-way of 1800 feet or, if a transfer of the property is not acceptable, the University grant an easement for all highway purposes for the 1800 feet.

Mr. Fognini stated that there is a high pressure gas main along Squirrel Road on University property between University Drive and Walton Boulevard which will cost \$400,000 to relocate.

Trustee Hartmann suggested that there be a curved roadway south of University Drive around the Auburn Hills facilities which would connect to Squirrel Road.

Mr. Fognini stated that regarding Mr. Sims' proposal, it would require the granting of a 200 foot right-of-way across property owned by Mr. Samuel Frankel and others from East Pontiac Road to Squirrel Road. Mr. Fognini alleged that in conversations the OCRC had with the University years ago, it was agreed that the majority of the Squirrel Road construction would be on the east side of the road. Some property was deeded by the University to the OCRC in 1969, but only a two-lane roadway rather than the proposed four-lane roadway was constructed. A request was made by the University in 1970 or 1971 that the property be reconveyed to the University. It was reconveyed on the condition that the OCRC retain an easement for highway purposes.

Mr. De Carlo stated that he believed that Mr. Fognini was referring to a grant made by the Michigan State University (MSU) Board of Trustees around 1969. The property conveyed was from the previous main entrance to the University, north to Walton Boulevard. In the early 1970s, it came to the University's attention that the property had been deeded by the MSU Board, and a request was made to the OCRC that the property be returned. The property was returned, but the OCRC retained the right to use the property for road purposes.

Mr. Fognini stated that the property under discussion was a 1360 foot long right-of-way extending south from Walton Boulevard along Squirrel Road.

Trustee Googasian stated that it was her understanding that the citizens of Rochester Hills have opposed the widening of Adams Road on the east side of campus to create a major thoroughway for the Tech Park traffic. She added that there has been a significant movement to convince the OCRC to funnel the traffic up Squirrel Road to Tienken Road and then over to Adams Road. She asked if any decision has been made by the OCRC on this proposal.

Mr. Fognini stated that the OCRC intended at one time to have boulevards around the entire campus. That plan was dropped a number of years ago when it appeared that funding would never be available. There is currently a 120 foot right-of-way on Adams Road within which a road of up to five lanes could be constructed. Adams Road will remain a major north/south corridor because it connects to M-59 and is of significant length. At present, there are insufficient funds, and there are no projected plans for the immediate improvement of Adams Road.

Trustee Bemis asked for more information on the alleged commitment to Mr. Frankel. He questioned the impact of such an action on the current Board.

Mr. Fognini stated that, as he recalled, Mr. Frankel dedicated property for the construction of University Drive to the current main entrance to the campus. Mr. Fognini stated that he understood that any additional right-of-way to widen Squirrel Road would come from the University, except for the standard 60 feet which Mr. Frankel has now agreed to provide. In the planning stages for the establishment of the University, Chancellor Durwood Varner agreed that all of the right-of-way around the entire University beyond 60 feet would come from the University. Mr. Fognini acknowledged, however, that there is nothing in writing to support this position.

Trustee Bemis stated that Mr. Fognini's assumption appeared to be a major commitment for a chief executive officer to make in the absence of Board authority. He then requested that the record be checked. He added that he hoped that the current administration would not think of making commitments of this nature without prior Board approval.

President Champagne stated that he and Mayor Grusnick have concerns about protecting University and city land. If the boulevard concept is approved, the amount of right-of-way in question would be approximately 140 feet by 1800 feet, initially. Eventually, Squirrel Road will be made into a boulevard south to M-59, so there will be more right-of-way requested. There are yellow-topped stakes on Oakland's property indicating how this dedication of right-of-way will impact on the University. Mayor Grusnick and he have recommended an alternative roadway going west from Squirrel Road, behind the GKN Technologies building, to University Drive. He added that he believes that this "loop road," and one of similar character on East Pontiac Road, would obviate the need to move the gas line and would adequately accommodate traffic flow. President Champagne and the Mayor would like the recommendation explored by the engineering staff of the

OCRC. He stated that he believed that this proposal would preserve a great amount of University land and would also meet the needs of the citizens of Rochester Hills who prefer traffic to flow on the west side of the Tech Park. He added that the University is sympathetic to that concern.

Trustee Chunovich left the meeting at this time for a speaking engagement.

Trustee Bemis stated that the road development was a complex problem and involves much more than Squirrel Road. He added that since the Board just approved the appointment of Johnson, Johnson, and Roy as the master planner for the University, part of the charge should be to examine some of the adjacent road problems that have a direct effect on the University.

President Champagne stated that he has discussed this issue with the planners, and they are willing to incorporate the road issue in their study.

Since there was no further discussion, Chairman Handleman thanked the OCRC representatives for their presentation.

Report on Instructional Technology Center

Chairman Handleman called on Mr. Tom Zizka who asked to address the Board.

Mr. Zizka, an Oakland senior, thanked the Trustees for the opportunity to speak. He stated that he was representing some Oakland students.

Mr. Zizka stated that he and others were concerned that the University has chosen not to renew the contract of Mr. Robert Parent, the current Instructional Television Producer of the Instructional Technology Center (ITC). As a result, the television studio will cease to provide services in the current manner. Mr. Zizka stated that there are several reasons to substantiate the continued existence of the studio in its current form.

Mr. Zizka stated that the first of three major reasons is public relations. The University needs to maintain a good public image and television is an important means in communicating the University's messages.

The second reason is alumni relations. It is important for the University to continue to allow alumni access to the University and to keep them aware of Oakland activities. Mr. John Rhadigan, former Oakland student, 1983 Wilson Award winner, and Oakland instructor, is a member of the Alumni Executive Board. At a recent meeting, a question was raised concerning the Oakland Technology Park. Because Mr. Rhadigan is Executive Producer of an advanced television production class which produces a television show called the "Inside Look" that covered the Tech Park in its very first program, he was able to answer all of the questions. The "Inside Look" is made possible by the ITC and the efforts of Mr. Parent.

The third reason is for Oakland students. Between 300-350 students each academic year are involved with the ITC. All communications, journalism, music, education, nursing, and human resource development students are part of this group. He stated that it was his understanding that the Human Resources and Development Department cannot gain accreditation for its master's program without a functioning television studio on campus.

Mr. Zizka presented a petition carrying the signatures of 724 students who believe that a television studio and Mr. Parent are important to the University and to their education. He stated that without these facilities, Oakland students will lose a competitive edge in the workplace. Mr. Zizka added that he intended to be a television reporter, and he believed that he was fortunate that as a senior he has gained experience from the studio. He stated that the students who want to venture into the broadcasting field will be prejudiced because they will not be able to use these facilities if they are removed.

He requested that the Board do what it can to see that this does not happen and that Mr. Parent's job is not lost.

Chairman Handleman asked Mr. Kleckner to make a report on this matter at the next Board meeting.

Since there were no further matters for discussion, Trustee Sims, seconded by Trustee Hartmann, moved to adjourn the meeting. The motion was unanimously carried.

The meeting was adjourned at 6:50 p.m.

Approved,


John De Carlo, Secretary
Board of Trustees

David Handleman, Chairman
Board of Trustees