

MINUTES OF THE MEETING
of the
BOARD OF TRUSTEES
June 17, 1985

The meeting was called to order at 8:05 a.m. in Lounge II of the Oakland Center by Chairman Alex Mair.

Present: Trustees Donald Bemis, Phyllis Law Googasian, David Handleman, Patricia Hartmann, Alex Mair, Ken Morris, and Howard Sims

Absent: Trustee Wallace Riley

Approval of minutes of April 17, 1985

Mr. Sims, seconded by Mr. Handleman, moved to approve the minutes of April 17, 1985. The motion passed by unanimous vote.

Approval of Oakland Technology Park District expansion

Chairman Mair stated that the item concerning expansion of the Oakland Technology Park District would be discussed at this time. President Champagne stated that the order of the agenda was changed in consideration of the presence of a number of interested property owners and Mr. Leonard Hendricks, City Manager of Auburn Hills.

President Champagne noted that the Oakland University Board of Trustees at its March 20, 1985, meeting approved petitioning the City of Auburn Hills to establish a Technology Park District according to the provisions set forth in Public Act 385 of 1984. At the city's public hearing several property owners with land immediately adjacent to the University asked that their property be included in the Technology Park District for land use purposes consistent with the Act. The City approved the establishment of the Technology Park as originally proposed and indicated that they would be receptive to a future change in the boundary lines.

President Champagne stated that he and Mr. John De Carlo, Secretary to the Board of Trustees, Vice President for Governmental Affairs and General Counsel, attended the public session and, after hearing the discussion, stated that the Oakland University Board of Trustees must approve any change in the size of the Technology Park District.

The University and Development Committee met twice and thoroughly discussed the question of adding land to the area. The Committee voted to bring the proposed expansion issue to the full Board with the understanding that the Board would not consider any further requests concerning an enlargement of the Research Park until guidelines had been established to assist in the Board's deliberative process.

President Champagne noted two changes from the agenda materials concerning the triangular parcel immediately west of the University: It should be titled to Messrs. Louis Berry and Samuel Frankel and consists of approximately 32 acres.

President Champagne requested approval of the following recommendations:

Recommendation One

WHEREAS, The Board of Trustees has received written requests from Advance Medical & Research Center, Inc., University Development Co., Samuel Frankel and Louis Berry, Comerica, Inc., and the City of Auburn Hills, the owners of record of the affected real property, to be included in the Oakland Technology Park District; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Trustees has received the written consent for such inclusion from the Chrysler Corporation, Comerica, Inc., Comerica Properties Corp., and Auburn Hills Limited Partnership, owners of record of 75% of the land within the District; and

WHEREAS, It would appear that the land to be included, described in Exhibit H accompanying this resolution, meets the requirements of Section 5(1) of Act 385 of the Public Acts of 1984; and

WHEREAS, The District, as altered to include the tracts of property, would further enhance economic and research development activities in conjunction with Oakland University; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That Oakland University does hereby request that the City of Auburn Hills alter the Oakland Technology Park District, pursuant to Act 385 of the Public Acts of 1984, to include the land described in Exhibit H attached hereto; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the President of the University is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to communicate this resolution to the Mayor, City Council and Clerk of the City of Auburn Hills and to otherwise undertake any further action on behalf of the University in order that the City may alter said Oakland Technology Park District to include the land described in Exhibit H.

Recommendation Two

RESOLVED, That in view of the possibility of future requests for additions or changes to the Oakland Technology Park District, or for the establishment of additional Technology Park Districts, the administration shall present for consideration by the University and Development Committee of the Board of Trustees guidelines for the evaluation of all future requests, which guidelines shall be in accordance with the law and shall take into account the protection of University and community interests; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the University and Development Committee shall present for consideration and adoption by the Board of Trustees policy guidelines to be used in the evaluation of future requests for additions or changes to the Oakland Technology Park District or for the establishment of additional Districts.

Mr. Handleman moved adoption of the recommendations as read, seconded by Mrs. Hartmann.

Mr. Bemis asked if the second recommendation should specify that the Board would be required to adopt policy guidelines prior to any future extension of the Technology Park District. President Champagne replied that this was the intent of the second recommendation, but that more specific wording could be used. He suggested the following addition to the recommendation:

RESOLVED, That the Board of Trustees shall take no further action relating to the Oakland Technology Park District, or to any proposed District, until such guidelines are developed.

Mrs. Googasian stated for the Board's information that the University and Development Committee discussed this matter

extensively. She said she had no objection to the current expansion request, but felt that it was necessary that the Board establish guidelines for evaluating future requests.

A vote was taken and both recommendations passed with the inclusion in the second recommendation of the provision suggested by President Champagne. All of the Trustees voted "yes" except for Mr. Sims who abstained. (Exhibit H referred to in the resolution is on file in the Office of the Board of Trustees.)

Approval of 1985-86 general fund budget

Chairman Mair stated that the 1985-86 General Fund Budget would be the next order of business. President Champagne explained that the sequence of the agenda was being adjusted to accommodate those persons who will not be able to stay for the entire Board meeting and to allow ample discussion time on the proposed budget and related items.

Chairman Mair called upon Mr. Robert McGarry, Vice President for Finance and Administration. Mr. McGarry stated that the Legislature has not passed an appropriations bill. He added that the proposed budget is based on a 7.78% increase in State appropriations as recommended by Governor James J. Blanchard. There is a proposed tuition increase which represents an increase of about 5.3%, and an unencumbered 1984-85 carryforward balance of \$983,351. (The complete budget document is on file in the Office of the Board of Trustees.)

Projected expenditures will exceed projected revenues by \$1,737,678 which will be covered by passage of the tuition increase and the use of the carryforward balance. Except for inflation factors for insurance, computer maintenance, and an increment for the telephone system, cost increases are less than the most recently computed increase in the Higher Education Price Index which is 5.4%. Mr. McGarry noted that "This is a hold the line budget", with the major increases being for employee compensation.

The Legislature may provide additional funds when the appropriations process is concluded. There may be an equity adjustment to recognize long term enrollment growth. The University's first priority for any increase in funding is the restoration of two counseling positions for disadvantaged students. In addition, there is some indication that the universities may receive a "tuition stabilization adjustment". If this adjustment funding is received, it will be utilized to reduce the proposed resident student tuition.

Mr. McGarry noted that special items of \$110,000 for an academic skills center, \$50,000 for a business systems center, and \$500,000 for research are under consideration by the Legislature but not included in this budget.

President Champagne stated that the items mentioned by Mr. McGarry are designated by the State for specific purposes. If the Research Excellence Fund is approved, the University has indicated that its portion will be applied to the robotics program as set forth in Board approved program revision requests to the State.

Mr. McGarry asked approval of the recommendation set forth below:

RESOLVED, That the Board of Trustees approves the general fund budget for 1985-86 with estimated revenues of \$44,362,449 and estimated expenses of \$45,345,800 with the shortfall to be covered by the estimated 1984-85 carryforward of \$983,351.

Mr. Sims asked if there were a possibility that Oakland could receive less money from the State than anticipated. President Champagne responded that it was highly unlikely. The House of Representatives has passed the bill as recommended by the Governor, and the Senate has passed a bill at a slightly higher figure. The final appropriation will be determined by a Legislative conference committee and will probably be somewhere between the Governor's recommendation and the higher figure passed by the Senate.

Mr. Morris stated that he would like to make a statement in regard to tuition increases. (What follows is a paraphrase of Mr. Morris' remarks. The first person presentation is retained for ease of reading.)

I believe that the issues of budget and tuition should not be isolated from one another. Approximately a year ago, I expressed concern about the continuing rise in tuition and the result of excluding more and more persons from Oakland University. When we were experiencing the recent recession, we were deprived of income from the State. As a result, in each of those years we said we had to increase tuition in order to function and maintain a balanced budget. It bothers me that now that the economy is better, we are continuing to make tuition increases. Studies show that 60% of the high school graduates in this country do not pursue higher education. I do not know to what degree the cost of tuition affects those figures, but it is becoming more and more obvious, particularly with the need for education in the

various areas of ~~computer-~~technology, that anyone who wants any kind of a future must have a college degree.

I oppose tuition increases because they deny young people the opportunity to pursue higher education. I see no commitment to education in the State since SOME people IN POLITICAL LIFE do not dare ask for an increase in taxes which support education. Educators should be appealing to the taxpayers for the support of education. Oakland and its employees belong to various associations of educators, such as the Michigan Association of Governing Boards, the Association of Governing Boards, the Presidents Council of State Colleges and Universities and to many other groups. Oakland could be the ~~impetus-~~
~~for a drive~~ DRIVING FORCE to raise community consciousness in regard to the need to support higher education. Those who earn their livelihoods through the educational system, and those who believe in education should organize themselves to stimulate discussion and an awareness of the educational direction of the State and the Nation. They must encourage young people, especially those who are disadvantaged, to move toward a college education.

Scholarships should be made available to disadvantaged young people so that they may have an opportunity to prepare themselves for the future. THERE SHOULD BE A SUM OF MONEY AVAILABLE FOR EACH HIGH SCHOOL IN THE STATE AS DESIGNATED BY STATE GOVERNMENT. IF YOU COULD ESTABLISH THROUGH LEGISLATION A PROGRAM THAT IN EFFECT PROVIDED THAT IF A HIGH SCHOOL HAS STUDENTS WHO WOULD NOT GO TO COLLEGE UNLESS THEY RECEIVED HELP AND THE COMMUNITY WISHED TO RAISE FUNDS TO BE MATCHED BY THE STATE, YOU WOULD BE INVOLVING PEOPLE TO SPEAK OUT ON THE IMPORTANCE OF AN EDUCATION AND RESOLVING THIS PROBLEM OF PRICING PEOPLE OUT OF AN EDUCATION. If funds were raised within the ~~jurisdiction of every~~ COMMUNITY OR high school THEN THERE SHOULD BE matching funds from the State. This incentive concept would force people to think about educational needs.

I agree with the slogan of the United Negro Fund: "A mind is a terrible thing to waste." No one knows what contributions to society are missed because of the lack of educational

Note: See September 11, 1985, Board of Trustees meeting minutes for authorization for the above revisions. State law requires that corrected minutes shall show both the original entry and the correction. Material deleted is indicated by strikeover. Material added is presented in upper case letters.

opportunity. Every time we raise tuition we can guarantee there will be more people who will no longer be able to consider going to college. I would question my role here if I did not speak out on this issue. We should not be developing a system which makes it more difficult for young people to go to school.

Mr. Mair stated that the proposed budget had been thoroughly reviewed to control costs. He suggested that Mr. Morris look at tuition rates in relation to the Consumer Price Index. He also suggested that Mr. Morris write a statement of his position and recommendations regarding increased tuition rates for the Board's future consideration and discussion.

President Champagne stated that Oakland has prepared data comparing the rise in tuition rates to the cost of living as far back as 1970. Generally, tuition has tracked inflation, but in recent years tuition has exceeded inflation. The problem is less State funding. Measured in constant dollars, Senate appropriations to Oakland based on the fiscal year equated student count are less this year than they were in 1977.

Mr. Morris said he is not questioning that the University needs more money, but that he firmly believes that the educational institutions and governing bodies in this country must face the problem of pricing education out of the reach of many citizens.

Mr. Mair stated his belief that everyone would generally agree with Mr. Morris, but he did not see any alternative to change the budget currently under consideration.

Mrs. Hartmann asked Mr. Morris if he would give her at a later time an analysis of the 60% of high school graduates who do not go on to higher education.

Mr. Bemis expressed his agreement with Mr. Morris' statement and added that he is even more concerned with the fact that the budget shows greater expenditures than current revenue income. He stated his belief that Oakland would encounter some budgetary problems in the next few years if this situation were not addressed immediately. Some of the allocations in the budget are "one-time funds", which can be spent only once and are not renewable. There is an increase in positions being funded which is dangerous when the University also has decreasing enrollment and revenues. The administration has demonstrated that the institution is in a better relative position in 1985-86 than in 1984-85. Mr. Bemis stated that it is his assumption that the administration is monitoring the situation because he sees difficult times in the next two years.

Mr. Sims asked how much of the \$2.7 million increase in this year's budget was created because of employee contract obligations incurred in previous years. President Champagne replied that \$1.6 million is for compensation, but all of the collective bargaining agreements are open this year for negotiation. Oakland has no contractual obligations for any employee group beyond October, 1985. This budget projects a modest increase for all employees.

Mr. Sims asked if it would be fair to say that tuition is being raised in order to pay staff. President Champagne replied that this statement is true because tuition is part of the University's revenue base and this is a labor-intensive institution. He stated that the line was held in all areas where it seemed feasible to do so at this time. Progress has been made in regard to the budget problems of last year and projections have been made as to the budgetary direction of the University. The administration is also concerned about budget balance, but feels that positive steps are being taken to reduce the problem.

Mr. Morris stated that part of the reason for State increases in allocations to universities is to help cover the cost of employee compensation increases. President Champagne noted that only 60% of Oakland's revenues comes from State appropriations and other income must also be increased.

Mr. Sims, seconded by Mrs. Hartmann, moved adoption of the budget as presented. The motion was approved with six "yes" votes. Mr. Morris voted "no". Mr. Morris stated that he would submit his concerns as expressed above, in writing.

President Champagne stated that information will be obtained comparing college attendance and tuition rates in various states.

Approval of 1985-86 tuition

Mr. McGarry asked approval of the recommendation as set forth below:

RESOLVED, That the Board of Trustees approves the attached schedule of proposed student tuition and fee rates for 1985-86; and be it further

RESOLVED, That, should the Legislature of the State of Michigan increase the "tuition stabilization funding" over the amount recommended by the Governor, the amount of the increase be applied against resident undergraduate and graduate tuition and that the President effect a respective reduction in the tuition rate schedule for this group of students.

(The schedule of tuition and fee rates to which the resolution refers reads as follows.)

Mr. Sims, seconded by Mr. Bemis, moved approval of the recommendations as presented tuition increase. The motion passed with six positive votes. Mr. Morris voted against the motion.

Approval of student activity fee increase

Mr. Mair called upon Mr. Michael Carbone, President of the University Congress.

Mr. Carbone thanked Mr. Mair and Mr. De Carlo for his inclusion in the agenda. Mr. Carbone stated that the student activity fee has not been increased since 1979 but, due to inflation and additional programs and activities, an increase is being requested at this time. It is also requested that the allocation of the student activity fee be restructured.

The current allocation of the student activity fee revenue is as follows:

University Congress	65.0%
Student Activities Board	14.0%
WOUX	7.0%
Oakland Sail	7.0%
Performing Arts Board	7.0%

The proposed allocation of the revenues is as follows:

University Congress	38.0%
Student Program Board	21.0%
Student Activities Board	21.0%
WOUX	7.5%
Oakland Sail	6.5%
Performing Arts Board	6.0%

Mr. Carbone stated that the resolution also requests that graduate students be included in the fee levy because graduate students have begun to take a more active role in campus life. This recommendation will give them the opportunity to have access to a portion of the money generated by the student activity fee. This proposal is self-imposed by the students; all students had an ample opportunity to vote. Voter turnout was 8%, which is considered high.

Mr. Carbone asked approval of the following recommendation:

WHEREAS, The student government known as University Congress requested an update of the findings of the 1983 Student Activity Fee Task Force, and

WHEREAS, The president of University Congress established the 1985 Investigatory Committee to reexamine and evaluate findings and recommendations of the 1983 Task Force, and

WHEREAS, The Investigatory Committee reaffirmed the findings of the 1983 Task Force on the need for a Student Activity Fee increase, and

WHEREAS, The Investigatory Committee determined that the Student Activity Fees should be increased as follows:

- a) Full-time undergraduate students taking 10 or more credits: an increase of \$2.25 for a total of \$9.75;
- b) Part-time undergraduate students taking 9 or less credits: an increase of \$1.25 for a total of \$7.25;
- c) Full-time undergraduate students taking 5 or more credits in spring/summer: an increase of \$1.00 for a total of \$4.75;
- d) Part-time undergraduate students taking 4 or less credits in spring/summer: an increase of \$.50 for a total of \$3.50;
- e) Full-time graduate students taking 8 or more credits: a first time assessment of \$2.50;
- f) Part-time graduate students taking 7 or less credits: a first time assessment of \$2.00;
- g) Full-time graduate students taking 4 credits or more in spring/summer: a first time assessment of \$1.25;
- h) Part-time graduate students taking 3 credits or less in spring/summer: a first time assessment of \$1.00; and

WHEREAS, The Investigatory Committee further determined that the Student Activity Fee should be restructured so that the increased fees would be allocated among University Congress, the Student Activities Board, the Student Program Board, WOUX, the Oakland Sail and the Performing Arts Board in the amounts charted in Appendix A (attached); and

WHEREAS, The recommended fee schedule in Appendix A is known as the Carbone/Tomilo Resolution, and

WHEREAS, University Congress approved the Carbone/Tomilo Resolution and moved to submit the resolution to a university-wide student referendum, and

WHEREAS, The referendum was approved by a 3 to 1 majority of those students voting; now therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Trustees authorizes the University President to increase and allocate the student activity fees as recommended by University Congress effective for the fall semester, 1985.

Mrs. Hartmann asked if the Student Activities Board overextends its budget, is the University Congress able to subsidize the Board? Mr. Carbone replied in the affirmative.

Mr. Morris commended Mr. Carbone on his presentation.

Mr. Bemis stated that Mr. Carbone's memorandum to President Champagne in the agenda materials stated that the national campus average for student programming is \$65,000. He asked for the basis for this figure. Mr. Carbone replied that it was from information compiled by the National Association for Campus Activities.

Mr. Bemis asked who controls the expenditures of these accounts. Mr. McGarry replied that procedural controls are the same as for all other University accounts.

Mrs. Hartmann, seconded by Mr. Handleman, moved to approve the recommendation as presented. The motion was unanimously passed. Appendix A as referred to in the recommendation is on file in the Office of the Board of Trustees.

Acceptance of gifts and grants lists of May 15 and June 17, 1985

Mr. Robert Swanson, Vice President for Developmental Affairs, drew the Board's attention to the gifts given in support of the George T. Matthews Scholarship Fund totaling nearly \$4,800. More than \$10,000 has been collected and dedicated to the endowment fund for that purpose.

Mr. Swanson noted the gift in support of the Meadow Brook Theatre/Meadow Brook Music Festival given by Ford Motor Company in the amount of \$20,000.

He also mentioned the gift of \$8,000 in support of the OU Scholarship Fund contributed by the Oakland University Scholarship Committee of Macomb County, a group which has been in operation for more than twenty years and has raised close to \$200,000 in support of scholarship projects.

Mr. Keith Kleckner, Provost and Senior Vice President, called the Board's attention to the two-month grant total of over \$1 million. He noted several grants from Oakland County which allow students to acquire work experience with the County. The program offers financial and academic support for students. He also noted the Upward Bound grant from the U. S. Department of Education of nearly \$240,000 which allows some students to go to school who for economic reasons may not otherwise be able to do so.

Mrs. Hartmann asked if Oakland's Upward Bound program is connected with other Upward Bound programs in the area such as Cranbrook or the one in Pontiac. Mr. Kleckner replied that students in the various programs are separate, but there is some joint involvement with specific activities.

In response to a question by Mrs. Googasian, Mr. Kleckner stated that the county internship program serves only 40-50 students, which is a reduction from a level of about 100 when CETA funds were available. The University could utilize more funds than are available to assist students.

President Champagne commented that, in addition to its \$20,000 gift, Ford Motor Company has donated a \$27,772 Lincoln Continental to be raffled off by the Meadow Brook Music Festival Women's Committee for the benefit of the Meadow Brook Music Festival.

Mr. Bemis, seconded by Mr. Handleman, moved to accept with gratitude the gifts and grants lists of May 15 and June 17, 1985. The motion was unanimously passed.

Approval of faculty personnel actions

Mr. Kleckner presented the following faculty personnel actions:

Appointment

Back, William R., Clinical Assistant Professor of Exercise Science, effective August 15, 1984, through August 14, 1986

Baumann, Billy B., Clinical Assistant Professor of Medical Laboratory Sciences, effective August 15, 1984, through August 14, 1986 (supersedes Board action of October 18, 1984)

Cioch, Frank A., Assistant Professor of Engineering, effective August 15, 1985

Darby, Sandra L., Adjunct Instructor in Nursing, effective August 15, 1985, through August 14, 1987

DeSole, Daniel E., Clinical Professor of Health Behavioral Sciences, effective August 15, 1984, through August 14, 1986

Dressendorfer, Rudolph H., Clinical Assistant Professor of Exercise Science, effective August 15, 1984, through August 14, 1986

Gordon, Seymour, Clinical Professor of Health Sciences, effective January 1, 1985, through August 14, 1986

Hetzel, Fred W., Associate Professor of Physics with tenure, effective August 15, 1985

Knapp, Rosemary E., Adjunct Instructor in Nursing, effective August 15, 1985, through August 14, 1987

Maschke, Karen J., Instructor in Political Science, effective August 15, 1985

Rinke, Lynn T., Adjunct Assistant Professor of Nursing,
effective August 15, 1985, through August 14, 1987

Timmis, Gerald C., Clinical Professor of Medical Physics,
effective August 15, 1985, through August 14, 1987

Change of Status

Loh, Nan K., from John F. Dodge Professor of Engineering to
John F. Dodge Professor of Engineering and Associate
Dean, School of Engineering and Computer Science,
effective April 1, 1985

Leave of Absence

Botsas, Eleftherios N., Professor of Economics and
Management, sabbatical leave from January 6, 1986,
through April 25, 1986 (To research the European trade
structure in order to estimate the likely effects of
declining trade between Eastern and Western Europe.)

Morse, Donald E., Professor of Rhetoric, sabbatical leave
from August 27, 1985, through December 14, 1985 (To
work on a book, "A Reader's Guide to Kurt Vonnegut,
Jr.," to write a short story, and to work on another
short story.)

Stewart, Jr., Robert B., Assistant Professor of Psychology,
sabbatical leave from August 27, 1985, through December
14, 1985 (To complete three manuscripts derived from
the study of familial role adjustments associated with
the birth of the second child.)

Mr. Kleckner pointed out the change of status for Professor Nan
K. Loh to include associate dean responsibilities.

Mrs. Hartmann stated that the inclusion in the recommendation of
the reasons for leaves of absence is at the request of the
Personnel Committee.

Mr. Morris asked if the University receives a report after a
faculty member takes a sabbatical leave. Mr. Kleckner replied in
the affirmative, noting that the report is used when considering
the appropriateness of future leaves.

Mrs. Hartmann, seconded by Mr. Bemis, moved to approve the faculty personnel changes as presented. The motion was unanimously passed.

Approval of appointment for George T. Mathews as Professor Emeritus

Mr. Kleckner requested approval of the following recommendation:

WHEREAS, George T. Matthews was appointed to the faculty of Oakland University in July, 1959, to give leadership to the programs in history and the development of Western Civilization, and

WHEREAS, He has been a faculty member for the entire 26-year history of the University, and

WHEREAS, He served with distinction as the first dean of the College of Arts and Sciences, providing leadership to the largest and most diverse academic unit in the University, and

WHEREAS, He spent seven years as Vice Provost, giving impetus to a major curricular expansion during the 1970s, and

WHEREAS, He presided over the University as interim President from 1979-1981 with grace and a sense of humor that served the institution well, and

WHEREAS, His administrative skill was called upon for several special assignments, including chairing the University's 25th anniversary celebration, being a special assistant to the President during the transition from his presidency to that of President Champagne, and presiding over the School of Nursing during a brief transition period, and

WHEREAS, He is retiring this August, having completed a forty-year academic career in which he distinguished himself as scholar, professor, and administrator, and

WHEREAS, He was a central figure in the development of Oakland University during its first quarter century; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That upon the recommendation of the President, the Provost, and the faculty of the College of Arts and Sciences, the Oakland University Board of Trustees hereby confers upon George T. Matthews the title Emeritus Professor.

Mrs. Googasian, seconded by Mr. Morris, moved to approve the recommendation as presented. The motion was unanimously passed.

Mr. Handleman stated that he has known Professor Matthews for many years and he has a "quiet nobility". The appointment is richly deserved.

Approval of early retirement agreement with Mr. Harry B. Van Hook, Jr.

Mr. Robert McGarry stated that the administration recommends a mutually beneficial early retirement incentive agreement for Mr. Harry B. Van Hook, Jr., Director of Community Education. The position of Director of Community Education will not be refilled. The proposed action provides the opportunity to consolidate operations and would permit some savings to the University. Mr. McGarry asked approval of the following recommendation:

RESOLVED, That the Board of Trustees approves an early retirement incentive arrangement with Harry B. Van Hook, Jr., as specified in the "Agreement between Oakland University and Harry B. Van Hook, Jr.", with Mr. Van Hook's voluntary termination to be effective at 12:01 a.m., July 1, 1985.

Mr. Morris stated that he did not recall this action being taken in the past, but believed it to be a good idea. Mr. McGarry replied that it has been done occasionally, but that there is no specific early retirement incentive plan for non-faculty personnel. A plan is under consideration for future submission to the Board of Trustees.

Mrs. Hartmann, seconded by Mr. Morris, moved to approve the recommendation as read. The motion was unanimously passed. The text of the agreement to which the motion refers is available in the Office of the Board of Trustees.

Approval of resolutions honoring the Alfred G. and Matilda R. Wilson awards recipients

Mr. Kleckner stated that each year Oakland University selects two outstanding undergraduate students to be recipients of Oakland's highest undergraduate awards. Presentation of the awards was made at commencement exercises on June 2, 1985, and that action needs to be ratified. Mr. Kleckner read the following resolution:

WHEREAS Mr. Robert J. McClory entered Oakland University in the Fall of 1981 as a residence hall student from Royal Oak, Michigan, Dondero High School; and

WHEREAS Mr. McClory demonstrated academic excellence by maintaining a 3.51 grade point average in pursuit of majors in Communication Arts and Political Science; and

WHEREAS Mr. McClory's academic excellence has been recognized by his selection as one of 24 fellows selected for the National Center for the Study of the Presidency, and as the recipient of the 1985 Michigan Association of Governing Boards Outstanding Student Award; and

WHEREAS Mr. McClory has demonstrated leadership capabilities and commitment to the university through his roles as University Congress President and orientation group leader, as well as through his service on numerous committees including the Faculty Senate, the Academic Policy and Planning Committee, the Outstanding AP Award Committee, the WOUX Board of Directors, House Council and the Barn Board Committee; and

WHEREAS Mr. McClory has served as a student representative of Oakland University to the public through his participation on the Oakland University Forensic Team, achieving thirteen first place finishes and second rank in the nation in Impromptu Speaking for 1985, helping to establish the Oakland University Forensic Team as a national contender and the 1985 National Forensic Association Division II National Champion, as well as through his work as a volunteer for the Oakland University/Rochester Apple Amble, Alumni Telefund, Concourse d'Elegance, and the 25th Anniversary celebration; and

WHEREAS Mr. McClory has been selected as the 1985 recipient of the Alfred G. Wilson Award given annually to a senior man who has maintained high academic standards, who has demonstrated extraordinary leadership capabilities and involvement in the Oakland University community and who has expressed social concern; therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the Board of Trustees expresses its appreciation to Mr. Robert J. McClory for his commitment to campus life at Oakland University; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Board of Trustees commends Mr. Robert J. McClory for the quality of his scholarship and leadership.

Mrs. Hartmann, seconded by Mrs. Googasian, moved to approve the recommendation as read. The motion was unanimously passed.

Mr. De Carlo stated that Trustee Wallace Riley had asked him to convey to the Board and to Mr. McClory his commendation of Mr. McClory, who is currently a summer employee in Mr. Riley's office.

Mr. Kleckner presented a copy of the resolution to Mr. McClory.

Mr. Kleckner continued by stating that Ms. Linda Nicholson was the recipient of the Matilda R. Wilson Award, but that she was unable to attend the Board meeting. Mr. Kleckner introduced a resolution honoring her, which reads as follows:

WHEREAS Ms. Linda M. Nicholson entered Oakland University in the Fall of 1981 as a residence hall student from Washington, Michigan, Eisenhower High School; and

WHEREAS Ms. Nicholson demonstrated academic excellence by maintaining a 3.56 grade average in pursuit of a major in Biochemistry; and

WHEREAS Ms. Nicholson's academic excellence has been recognized by her receipt of the 1985 Most Outstanding Biochemistry Graduate Award and her contributions to the cloning and DNA sequencing research endeavors of faculty members; and

WHEREAS Ms. Nicholson has expanded the exposure of students to a national professional organization by

being an officer for the American Chemical Society Student Affiliates, and a national social fraternity through her involvement as a founding member and officer of Phi Sigma Sigma; and

WHEREAS Ms. Nicholson has demonstrated leadership capabilities and commitment to the university by her participation as chair-person of the Student Program Board and as a member of numerous committees, including the University Congress Executive Committee, the Student Life Lecture Board, and the Black Awareness Month Committee; and

WHEREAS Ms. Nicholson has served as a student representative of Oakland University to the public through her volunteer work with Rochester senior citizens, her lead actress performances in the Avon Players rendition of "The King and I", as well as benefit performances for the American Heart Association; and

WHEREAS Ms. Nicholson has been selected as the 1985 recipient of the Matilda R. Wilson Award given annually to a senior woman who has maintained high academic standards, who has demonstrated extraordinary leadership capabilities and involvement in the Oakland University community and who has expressed social concern; therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the Board of Trustees expresses its appreciation to Ms. Nicholson for her commitment to campus life at Oakland University; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Board of Trustees expresses its appreciation to Ms. Nicholson for her commitment to campus life at Oakland University; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Board of Trustees commends Ms. Nicholson for the quality of her scholarship and leadership.

Mrs. Hartman, seconded by Mr. Bemis, moved to approve the resolution as presented. The motion was unanimously passed.

Approval of implementation of personal computer purchase program for faculty

Mr. Kleckner stated that this recommendation involved a plan to make personal computers available to faculty members of the School of Economics and Management. The University has been attempting to provide adequate personal computer capability to students and to faculty, and this proposal will help the faculty help themselves. The proposed program is patterned after similar programs implemented at other universities, and would permit the use of discretionary gift funds to advance interest-free loans of up to \$1,000 to faculty members to purchase qualified equipment which will cost at least twice the amount of the funds loaned. One-third of the loan would be forgiven each year, if the faculty member remains employed by the University, with the amount forgiven being treated for tax purposes as income to the faculty member. Expenditures for the loans would be limited by the amount of discretionary gift funds available.

Mr. Kleckner read the following recommendation:

RESOLVED, That the Senior Vice President for University Affairs and Provost is authorized to implement a program of purchase of microcomputers by faculty members, including non-general fund subsidy by the University, where appropriate; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Vice President for Finance and Administration is authorized to develop the appropriate contracts and loan agreement for said purchases.

Mrs. Hartmann moved this recommendation, seconded by Mr. Handleman.

In reply to a question from Trustee Handleman, Mr. Kleckner stated that the University in the past has purchased 200 computers for various departments, but that under the proposed plan, faculty members could keep the computers at their homes, would have title to the equipment and would be personally responsible for all insurance, maintenance and repairs.

Mr. Handleman asked if a manufacturer had been contacted regarding a gift of the computers or a discount purchase plan. Mr. Kleckner replied that we are receiving a discount and Apple

Computer, Inc., has been approached, but they require a large guaranteed volume of purchases for a special discount. Apple is currently attempting to modify its requirements to make such a plan more feasible for Oakland.

Mr. Morris inquired as to the timing of the loan and how much money was involved. Mr. Kleckner stated that the loan was made prior to purchase. If gift funds were available, all 350 faculty members would be eligible.

President Champagne added that the proposed program would encourage the deans and the University to raise gift money for the acquisition of computers.

Mr. Morris inquired if a faculty member purchased a computer, would he be eligible for the funds. President Champagne responded that they would be eligible.

Mr. Bemis stated that he must speak out against the proposal because gift funds are public funds, and the proposed loans are actually gifts of cash to employees. Such action would be contrary to public policy. If computers are tools needed to teach, Oakland has an obligation to provide those tools on an equitable basis. They should be owned by the University and made available to those who need to use them.

He added that certain schools within the University have the ability to raise gift funds for this purpose but others do not. This fund raising capacity is not necessarily related to need. If this proposal were approved, a priority for the utilization of gift funds would be established and distribution of gift funds to other schools would take lower priority. Mr. Bemis stated that he takes great exception to utilizing public funds in this manner, and that he does not think it appropriate to give away cash under these conditions. He said that this proposal has the appearance of a subterfuge and would not provide equity within the University. He did not question the need for the personal computers, but if the acquisition of computers is a high priority, Oakland has an obligation to redirect gift funds to all schools and all employees. Mr. Bemis stated he would not support the proposed plan.

Mr. Kleckner stated that he understood Mr. Bemis' concern and stated that the intent was to find some method to address the problem and stay within budget. The problem of equity is no

different in some ways from obtaining grants and contracts. An effort is made with general fund revenues to balance allocations to the library, for instance, and to support faculty who cannot obtain external grants. This same approach would be taken with respect to computer acquisition.

Mr. Bemis stated that gifts and grants are under the control of the University. President Champagne stated that if a gift is a designated gift, the University must comply with the donor's wishes. He added that the recommendation allows the lending of money for computer acquisition if gifts for that purpose are received. If a dean receives undesignated gifts, those funds could also be so used.

Mr. Bemis stated that he understood the need to comply with a donor's request, but a gift to the University would in his opinion result in the computer being owned by the institution. Mr. Bemis added that there is potential for unequal treatment when title to the equipment is held by an individual employee. The dean would have discretionary power to give \$1,000 to one employee and not another. Gifts should remain in the custodial care of the University, and whatever is done should be for the greater benefit of all.

Mrs. Hartmann asked if the program would be more equitable if the University purchased the computers and loaned them to faculty. Mr. Kleckner said it would be difficult to determine the responsibility for maintenance and insurance because the computers would be University property. The intent was to lodge those responsibilities with the faculty members.

In response to a question by Mrs. Hartmann, Mr. Kleckner stated that Michigan State University has implemented a program similar to the one being recommended.

Mr. Bemis stated that the practice is not widely followed and he believes it to be a dangerous precedent.

Mr. Handleman suggested giving faculty members a \$1,000 salary advance over a three year period.

Mr. Morris said he wished to hold this item in abeyance until a full review can be made.

Mrs. Hartmann stated that the University and Development Committee had reviewed the proposal and the majority felt that it was a valid proposal.

Mr. Mair asked Mr. De Carlo for clarification of the public policy issue. Mr. De Carlo stated that this is a policy question as to whether the University wishes to assist in the acquisition of computers to be placed in the faculty members' homes for University use. If it is determined that there is a demonstrated benefit to the University which equals or exceeds the cost, and that a required University need is being met, then the transaction is not illegal. If the equipment is to be provided for personal use only, it is illegal because public funds cannot be used for private gain or a private purpose. There must be a public purpose for the distribution of public funds. Gift funds are subject to the control of the University.

Mrs. Googasian, seconded by Mr. Bemis, moved to table the recommendation, since a tabling motion should take precedence over the motion to approve the action. The vote was four to three and the motion failed to carry.

Mr. Sims asked Mr. Kleckner if a more complete report could be compiled before the next Board meeting. Mr. Kleckner said that it could and asked the Board exactly what information it desired.

Mr. Sims said he believes this is a broad policy issue, and the legality of the proposal of providing additional compensation should be further examined.

Mr. Morris asked which committee would be responsible for discussing this issue. President Champagne replied that the University and Development Committee would be responsible unless the University decided to purchase the equipment outright. In that event, the Audit and Finance Committee would need to be involved.

Mrs. Hartmann said she would like to see the issue examined from the standpoint of the end result. What number of faculty can be serviced by the proposed plan, and how many could be serviced by a different plan? She said she would also like to know what other institutions in the State and the nation are doing in this regard--especially those institutions with budgetary problems.

Mr. Sims stated that the proposed program would not impact on the general fund budget because the money used would be designated funds. This action may result in the redirection of gift funds from other projects to the proposed purchase of computers.

Mr. Bemis added that the problem is that unrestricted funds already existing in the School would be redirected into the acquisition of computers for this School's faculty. He stated that his concern is equity for all of the faculty.

Mr. Sims asked Mr. Bemis if he would be concerned if new restricted gift funds were used for the program.

Mr. Bemis replied that he would not, but title to the equipment should be with Oakland University.

In response to a question by Mr. Handleman, Mr. Kleckner stated that the School of Economics and Management has unrestricted funds to be used at the discretion of the Dean within the parameters of University control. President Champagne stated that gift income often comes to specific schools to be used in whatever way the school determines.

Mr. Handleman stated that if the dean, in his judgement, wished to use such funds in this way, he should be permitted to do so.

Mr. Morris called for a clarification of the vote on the motion to table. Mr. Mair responded that the vote on the motion to table had been taken. However, he asked for another vote. On the second vote there were four in favor and three opposed. A majority of five not voting in favor, the motion to table failed.

Mr. Mair then called for a vote on Mr. Kleckner's recommendation which had been moved previously. The vote was four in favor and three opposed. A majority of five not voting in favor, the recommendation failed to pass.

Mrs. Hartmann suggested that Mr. Kleckner bring the issue before the Board at a later date after further study, but warned against delaying too long.

Mrs. Googasian stated her belief that equity is most important and asked that input from other schools and departments at Oakland be obtained.

Mr. Morris stated that the tenor of the Board appeared to be to provide the equipment in one way or another.

Mrs. Hartmann stated that if any new plan is expensive, the University will not have the funds. The proposed program is a solution that would have used undesignated income to satisfy an urgent need. She added that if the University must buy the equipment outright, the funds are not available.

President Champagne stated that there will be a full review and a new proposal presented to the Board at a later time.

Approval of change in funding level for restoration of Dodge Farmhouse

Mr. McGarry stated that the capital outlay recommendation submitted to the State contained an item for the remodeling of Dodge Farmhouse. Oakland University has received a commitment for an appropriation of \$200,000 for the project. Since the original cost estimate of \$200,000 the University has engaged Architronic Designs, Limited, to do a full design study which has resulted in a final cost estimate of \$275,000. It is the intent of Oakland to ask the State for the additional \$75,000. Mr. McGarry introduced the following recommendation:

RESOLVED, That the Vice President for Finance and Administration is authorized to obtain bids for the remodeling of the Dodge Farmhouse; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Vice President for Finance and Administration is authorized to award the contract to the lowest qualified bidder with total project costs not to exceed \$275,000; and be it further

RESOLVED, That University contract and affirmative action procedures will be complied with.

Mr. Bemis asked where the \$75,000 would be obtained if the State does not provide the funds.

Mr. McGarry replied that the funds would come from undesignated investment income and not from the general fund budget.

Mr. Handleman, seconded by Mr. Sims, moved to approve the recommendation as presented. The motion was unanimously passed.

Mr. Handleman, seconded by Mr. Sims, moved to approve the recommendation as presented. The motion was unanimously passed.

Mr. Bemis asked for a report on the status of the undesignated investment income fund. President Champagne stated that a report would be provided.

Approval of request for authority to negotiate bankruptcy reorganization plan

President Champagne stated that Oakland University currently holds a pledge of \$65,000 from City Beverage Co., Inc., made several years ago to assist in the construction of Oakland's golf course. City Beverage has filed under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. This recommendation allows Oakland to negotiate an appropriate settlement on the \$65,000 debt. Before any final settlement is made, consultation with the Chairman of the Board of Trustees would take place. President Champagne read the following recommendation:

WHEREAS, Oakland University holds an unsecured debt in the amount of Sixty Five Thousand Dollars (\$65,000) in the City Beverage Co., Inc., Chapter 11 case; now therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Trustees authorizes the University President or his designee to take any and all action necessary to protect the interests of Oakland University in this case; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the President or his designee shall consult with the Chairman of the Board and receive his approval prior to any final resolution of the claim.

Mr. Bemis, seconded by Mrs. Hartmann, moved to approve the recommendation as presented. The motion was unanimously passed.

Acceptance of security transactions report

Mr. McGarry reported the sale of 50 shares of Ford Motor Company stock which resulted in proceeds of \$2,169.66.

Mrs. Hartmann, seconded by Mr. Sims, moved to accept the security transactions report as presented. The motion was unanimously passed.

Report of Workers' Compensation redemption

Mr. DeCarlo informed the Board that it has granted general authority to the University administration to settle workers' compensation claims when the amount does not exceed \$9000, with all settlements to be reported to the Board.

Mr. De Carlo added that this report involved the settlement of a workers' compensation claim by Ms. Hazel Goff, a former employee who alleged work-related disabilities. After conferring with the University's workers' compensation consultant and outside counsel, a decision was made that it was in the University's best interest to settle and redeem rather than to litigate this issue. Since the time span during which the alleged injuries occurred included both a period when the University was insured by the Insurance Company of North America (INA) and a period of self-insurance, INA and the University agreed to apportion the cost of the settlement. The total settlement amount is \$5,000. The University's share is \$3,333.

Mrs. Hartmann, seconded by Mr. Morris, moved the approval of the recommendation as presented. The motion was unanimously passed.

Mr. Sims requested that future reports that cite delegation of authority, also cite the date that authority was granted.

Presentation regarding the Center for Health Sciences

Mr. Joel Russell, Interim Director of the Center for Health Sciences, gave a slide presentation on programs in the Center for Health Sciences. Mr. Russell submitted materials to the Board members which included references to some of the slides. (The materials submitted to the Board are on file in the office of the Board of Trustees.)

Approval of change of status for Center for Health Sciences

Mr. Kleckner stated that some Board members had requested presentations on various programs and functions of the University. Today seemed appropriate for a Health Sciences presentation in view of the recommendation to change the Center to a School of Health Sciences. Mr. Kleckner said that the principal reason for establishing a Center in 1976 rather than a School was to provide a vehicle for the orderly planning and development of important programs in allied health fields without

irrevocably committing the University to any specific program. The move into the field of health sciences was initially to be tentative. If health sciences became a fully established set of programs, the administrative structure would then be implemented at a later time.

Since 1976 the Center for Health Sciences has grown increasingly more complex in its internal organization and steadily more ambitious in the scope and level of its academic programs. A Commission on the Future Development of the Center for Health Sciences conducted a detailed study and recommended that School status be granted. The University Senate on April 23, 1984, unanimously supported this recommendation.

The change to School status has no administrative staffing implications because each of the individual programs already has a program director in place. Creation of a School does have faculty governance implications since it creates an organized faculty structure and provides more autonomy in regard to programs.

This recommendation includes approval of a constitution for the School. Bylaws have been included in the agenda materials but, consistent with past practice, the Board is not being asked to approve them as they will most likely change to accommodate the new status. Mr. Kleckner asked approval of the following recommendation:

RESOLVED, That the Board of Trustees authorizes the establishment of a School of Health Sciences and an organized faculty of health sciences, to be governed by the proposed constitution of the School of Health Sciences.

Mr. Kleckner stated that the constitution has been reviewed by the Office of the General Counsel and there are two proposed changes to make the document uniform with constitutions of other Schools. The proposed changes are as follows:

1. Article II 2 ii will read: "to be consulted on all academic matters of importance to the School".
2. Article VI is to be deleted.

(The constitution is on file in the Office of the Board of Trustees.)

Mrs. Hartmann stated that during committee discussions, it was noted that changing the status to the School of Health Sciences would make outside fundraising easier for this entity.

Mrs. Googasian asked if there was any connection between the Center for Health Sciences and persons who are studying physical education. Mr. Kleckner replied that Oakland does not offer a degree program in physical education.

Mrs. Googasian asked if it was important to have persons who are trained in physical education involved in the curriculum of the School for Health Sciences. Mr. Russell stated that the closest overlap Oakland has is the exercise science program. One source of students for the master's program in exercise science are people who were trained in traditional physical education who wish to retrain to be in the forefront of what physical education is doing today.

Mr. Morris asked if the program directors' titles, wages and compensation would remain the same after this action. Mr. Kleckner replied that adoption of the recommendation would not cause any change in employee status whatsoever except that the director of the Center will now be the dean of the School.

Mrs. Hartmann, seconded by Mr. Handleman, moved to approve the recommendation as presented with the two changes to the constitution. The motion was unanimously passed.

Approval of name change for Institute of Biological Sciences

Mr. Kleckner stated that the work of the Institute consists wholly of research into the mechanisms of vision and blinding diseases of the eye. Faculty members of the Institute have gained wide national and international recognition of their work, and each year the Institute receives substantial external funding. It is believed that a title more descriptive of the research performed by the faculty of the Institute would be advantageous in seeking funding from the private foundations and corporations which support such research. Mr. Kleckner read the following recommendation:

RESOLVED, That the Board of Trustees authorizes the Institute of Biological Sciences to change its name to the Eye Research Institute of Oakland University.

Mrs. Hartmann, seconded by Mr. Bemis, moved to approve the recommendation as presented. The motion was unanimously passed.

Approval of the merger of the Department of Music and the Department of Theatre and Dance

Mr. Kleckner stated that the College Assembly, with support from both departments, has recommended merging the Department of Music and the Department of Theatre and Dance because of the small size of the departments. Major programs in Theatre and in Dance were phased out in 1983 and the numbers of faculty and students involved in both departments are too low to warrant separate departmental status. He asked approval of the following recommendation:

RESOLVED, That the Board of Trustees approves the merger of the Department of Music and the Department of Theatre and Dance, effective August 15, 1985. The new department, the Department of Music and Theatre/Dance, will remain in the College of Arts and Sciences.

Mrs. Hartmann, seconded by Mr. Handleman, moved to approve the proposed merger. The motion was unanimously passed.

Approval to grant a Master's Degree in Exercise Science

Mr. Kleckner stated that the proposed Master's Degree program in exercise science would attract people from a variety of professions, including physical education. The curriculum will emphasize the interrelationship between life-style, health, and physical activity. He stated that Mr. Russell and Mr. Fred Stransky, the director of the program, would answer any questions. There being none, Mr. Kleckner read the following recommendation:

RESOLVED, That the Board of Trustees authorizes the Center of Health Sciences to offer a Master of Science in Exercise Science.

Mrs. Hartmann, seconded by Mrs. Googasian, moved to approve the recommendation as presented. The motion was unanimously passed.

Mrs. Hartmann stated that the department deserves commendation on its work and on the publicity it has received.

Other items

President Champagne stated that the Michigan Open Meetings Act

requires the publication of the Board meeting dates for each calendar or fiscal year. He drew the Board's attention to the following list of proposed meeting dates:

Wednesday, July 10, 1985
Wednesday, August 14, 1985
Wednesday, September 11, 1985
Wednesday, October 9, 1985
Wednesday, November, 13, 1985
Wednesday, December 11, 1985
Wednesday, January 8, 1986
Wednesday, February 12, 1986
Wednesday, March 12, 1986
Wednesday, April 9, 1986
Wednesday, May 14, 1986
Wednesday, June 11, 1986

President Champagne stated that in an effort to accommodate the Trustees' schedules and requests for informational meetings, it is proposed that the meetings take place the second Wednesday of each month and be held even though the the agenda may be brief. An effort will be made to have a presentation on some facet of the University at each meeting. He added that his attendance was not possible on August 14 and that there may be a cominbed July-August meeting. At the next meeting the Board will be asked to adopt a schedule of meeting dates starting with September 11, 1985.

President Champagne also stated that the Board committees have been acting in consensus fashion without chairpersons. He asked Board Chairman Mair to appoint a chairperson for each committee. Consistent with the advance schedule of Board meeting dates, a schedule of meeting dates for the committees will be established.

Mrs. Hartmann agreed that the committee meeting dates should be set well in advance of the Board meeting dates.

President Champagne asked the Board's feeling on Board meetings being held during the work day rather than in the evening. The consensus of the Board was to hold the meetings in the evening.

Mr. Morris stated his desire that at some future meeting the Board should discuss the potential influence of the Technology Park District on the University in terms of academic programs, independence, and the general relationship between the two entities.

The formal business being completed, Mr. Mair asked for comments or questions from the audience. There being none, the meeting was adjourned at 11:08 a.m.

Approved,

John De Carlo, Secretary
Board of Trustees

Alex C. Mair, Chairman
Board of Trustees

Date

October 15, 1985

TO: Holders of the minutes of the June 17, 1985, Board of Trustees meeting

FROM: Office of the Secretary to the Board of Trustees

RE: Revision of pages 73 and 74 of the minutes of the June 17, 1985, Board of Trustees meeting

Please replace pages 73 and 74 with the attached pages in your copies of the June 17, 1985, Board of Trustees minutes. These changes resulted from a request from Trustee Ken Morris which is cited in the minutes of September 11, 1985. State law requires that any correction to the minutes shall show both the original entry and the correction. Thank you for your cooperation.

Mr. McGarry noted that special items of \$110,000 for an academic skills center, \$50,000 for a business systems center, and \$500,000 for research are under consideration by the Legislature but not included in this budget.

President Champagne stated that the items mentioned by Mr. McGarry are designated by the State for specific purposes. If the Research Excellence Fund is approved, the University has indicated that its portion will be applied to the robotics program as set forth in Board approved program revision requests to the State.

Mr. McGarry asked approval of the recommendation set forth below:

RESOLVED, That the Board of Trustees approves the general fund budget for 1985-86 with estimated revenues of \$44,362,449 and estimated expenses of \$45,345,800 with the shortfall to be covered by the estimated 1984-85 carryforward of \$983,351.

Mr. Sims asked if there were a possibility that Oakland could receive less money from the State than anticipated. President Champagne responded that it was highly unlikely. The House of Representatives has passed the bill as recommended by the Governor, and the Senate has passed a bill at a slightly higher figure. The final appropriation will be determined by a Legislative conference committee and will probably be somewhere between the Governor's recommendation and the higher figure passed by the Senate.

Mr. Morris stated that he would like to make a statement in regard to tuition increases. (What follows is a paraphrase of Mr. Morris' remarks. The first person presentation is retained for ease of reading.)

I believe that the issues of budget and tuition should not be isolated from one another. Approximately a year ago, I expressed concern about the continuing rise in tuition and the result of excluding more and more persons from Oakland University. When we were experiencing the recent recession, we were deprived of income from the State. As a result, in each of those years we said we had to increase tuition in order to function and maintain a balanced budget. It bothers me that now that the economy is better, we are continuing to make tuition increases. Studies show that 60% of the high school graduates in this country do not pursue higher education. I do not know to what degree the cost of tuition affects those figures, but it is becoming more and more obvious, particularly with the need for education in the

various areas of ~~computer-~~technology, that anyone who wants any kind of a future must have a college degree.

I oppose tuition increases because they deny young people the opportunity to pursue higher education. I see no commitment to education in the State since SOME people IN POLITICAL LIFE do not dare ask for an increase in taxes which support education. Educators should be appealing to the taxpayers for the support of education. Oakland and its employees belong to various associations of educators, such as the Michigan Association of Governing Boards, the Association of Governing Boards, the Presidents Council of State Colleges and Universities and to many other groups. Oakland could be the ~~impetus-~~
~~for-a-drive~~ DRIVING FORCE to raise community consciousness in regard to the need to support higher education. Those who earn their livelihoods through the educational system, and those who believe in education should organize themselves to stimulate discussion and an awareness of the educational direction of the State and the Nation. They must encourage young people, especially those who are disadvantaged, to move toward a college education.

Scholarships should be made available to disadvantaged young people so that they may have an opportunity to prepare themselves for the future. THERE SHOULD BE A SUM OF MONEY AVAILABLE FOR EACH HIGH SCHOOL IN THE STATE AS DESIGNATED BY STATE GOVERNMENT. IF YOU COULD ESTABLISH THROUGH LEGISLATION A PROGRAM THAT IN EFFECT PROVIDED THAT IF A HIGH SCHOOL HAS STUDENTS WHO WOULD NOT GO TO COLLEGE UNLESS THEY RECEIVED HELP AND THE COMMUNITY WISHED TO RAISE FUNDS TO BE MATCHED BY THE STATE, YOU WOULD BE INVOLVING PEOPLE TO SPEAK OUT ON THE IMPORTANCE OF AN EDUCATION AND RESOLVING THIS PROBLEM OF PRICING PEOPLE OUT OF AN EDUCATION. If funds were raised within the ~~jurisdiction-of-every~~ COMMUNITY OR high school THEN THERE SHOULD BE matching funds from the State. This incentive concept would force people to think about educational needs.

I agree with the slogan of the United Negro Fund: "A mind is a terrible thing to waste." No one knows what contributions to society are missed because of the lack of educational

Note: See September 11, 1985, Board of Trustees meeting minutes for authorization for the above revisions. State law requires that corrected minutes shall show both the original entry and the correction. Material deleted is indicated by strikeover. Material added is presented in upper case letters.

opportunity. Every time we raise tuition we can guarantee there will be more people who will no longer be able to consider going to college. I would question my role here if I did not speak out on this issue. We should not be developing a system which makes it more difficult for young people to go to school.

Mr. Mair stated that the proposed budget had been thoroughly reviewed to control costs. He suggested that Mr. Morris look at tuition rates in relation to the Consumer Price Index. He also suggested that Mr. Morris write a statement of his position and recommendations regarding increased tuition rates for the Board's future consideration and discussion.