



OAKLAND UNIVERSITY SENATE

Fifth Meeting Thursday, February 15, 1990 [sic March 8, 1990*] 3:10 p.m. Gold Room C, Oakland Center

MINUTES

[*The Feb. 15th meeting was snowed out; the Senate met to consider the Feb. 15 agenda on March 8, 1990]

SENATORS PRESENT : V. Allen, S. Appleton, M. Arshagouni, K. Beehler, D. Braunstein, P. Bertocci, K. Berven, J. Briggs-Bunting, P. Cass, J. Chipman, M. Coffey, G. Dahlgren, G. Dillon, R. Eberwein, J. Eckart, I. Eliezer, W. Fish, S. Frankie, W. Garcia, J. Grossman, B. Hamilton, D. Herman, A. Hormozi, J. Hovanesian, K. Kazarian, K. Kleckner, K. Kulig, C. Landry, A. Lindell, F. Mili, D. Miller, R. Olson, R. Pettengill, G. Pine, J. Rosen, K. Salomon, F. Schieber, J. Schimmelman, M. Sherman, L. Stamps, B. Theisen, R. Tracy, A. Tripp, T. Weng, R. Williamson, H. Witt, S. Wood, C. Zenas
SENATORS ABSENT: B. Abiko, D. Bricker, F. Cardimen, J. Champagne, J. Cowlishaw, R. Edgerton, P. Hartman, R. Horwitz, V. Larabell, A. Liboff, A. Meehan, V. Reddy, R. Schwartz, J. Urice, B. Winkler

The meeting was called to order at 3:15 p.m. by Provost Kleckner who noted that this is the fifth meeting of the Senate for the academic year 1989-90.

The minutes of <u>December 7, 1989</u> and <u>January 18, 1990</u> were approved as distributed.

Old Business:

A. Motion from the School of Health Sciences to approve a new constitution (R. Olson/A. Tripp).

MOVED that the Senate recommend to the President and the Board approval of a new Constitution of the School of Health Sciences.

Second Reading: . Debatable and eligible for final vote at this meeting. Not amendable under Senate protocol for constitutions.

Hearing no calls for further discussion of this item, Mr. Kleckner called for a vote. Approval was unanimous.

B. Motion from the School of Human and Educational Services to approve a new constitution and to amend the name of the School (Pine/Bricker).

MOVED that the Senate recommend to the President and the Board approval of the new Constitution of the School and approval to rename the unit the School of Education and Human Services.

Second Reading: Debatable, amendable and eligible for final vote at this meeting. $\$

Again hearing no calls for additional discussion, Mr. Kleckner called for a vote, and the body responded with unanimous approval of the motion.

C. Motion from the School of Human and Educational Services and the College of Arts and Sciences to establish a new curriculum leading to initial Michigan certification to teach at the secondary-school level (G. Pine/D. Bricker).

Second Reading: Debatable, amendable, and eligible for final vote at this meeting.

Dean Pine reviewed his introduction of this proposal during the last meeting and indicated his willingness to respond to questions. Ms. Garcia expressed general support of the program but was distressed at classifying it as a five year program which charges graduate fees for the entire fifth year without credit earned being graduate credit. Clarification sought by Provost Kleckner from the Registrar's representative present was not persuasive to Ms. Garcia, who was aware of several post-baccalaureate students who state they are paying graduate tuition but taking undergraduate courses.

Provost Kleckner suggested consulting the current Schedule of Classes for accurate tuition and fee information. This authoritative source indicated that graduate tuition/fees are not inherent in the program. Ms. Stamps sought further clarification regarding students in status in the proposed program during the post- baccalaureate period.

Provost Kleckner responded that secondary-education students would <u>not</u> pay graduate-level tuition. However, should students ultimately desire to apply these credits to graduate study they must pay the university the difference in tuition. Upon call of the question, the motion was accorded unanimous approval. Mr. Eberwein inquired as to the next steps for this proposal.

Mr. Kleckner responded that it will go on to the Board of Trustees where the criteria for assessment will be (1) the quality of the proposed program; (2) its appropriateness for the university in light of our mission; and (3) whether we can afford to implement it. He reminded the Senate that several departments are already staffed for implementation in the academic year 1990-91. Mr. Williamson asked how the program director's position will be implemented. Mr. Kleckner assured him that funds were available for that slot and noted that Oakland can still be in a leadership role with this program, assuming we can implement it in fall, 1990.

Ms. Stamps wondered about information distribution to prospective students. Dean Pine responded that the Secondary Education council will address that matter at their next meeting. He described evidence already accumulating that suggests a high degree of interest in the program. Ms. Garcia asked whether we can take students with outside (Oakland University)

bachelor's degrees in education into our fifth year. Dean Pine answered in the affirmative but said that priority would be given to Oakland University students. Outside students would need to take 16 hours of OU undergraduate credit to be considered.

<u>New Business:</u> None.

<u>Good and Welfare:</u> None.

Informational Items:

A. APPC has referred the draft planning document and a synopsis of the reactions to it by the OU community to a subcommittee currently drafting supplementary sections incorporating a call for focus and other suggestions heard during the open hearings. APPC is working to complete the entire document for consideration by the Senate in April.

B. Science building: Progress is slow but continuing. The architects are completing initial design following review by the State Building Authority. (A building model will be made available in the future on OU campus.) The OU proposals should go to the Joint Capital Outlay Committee next week for release of funds for second-stage design. A possibly ominous obstacle to future building on state school campuses is an initiative in Lansing to ask that 20-% of the money for new buildings be funded by the universities and 80.% by the state. If this becomes state policy, new buildings would require "innovative" university fund raising.

C. Budget update: Another cap on tuition and fees may be expected this budget year. The governor's appropriation recommendations are:

- a 4.7% average increase in base appropriation
- institutions opening new facilities to get additional monies for operation
- a base budget increase of 5.2% for OU other agencies (non-educational) to be cut 2.5%

Rather than to add on funds this year in the legislative arena, the task will be to hold on. Hearings begin tomorrow in Lansing. The target is for the Senate bill to emerge in mid-April. in general, the numbers are slightly better than expected.

Mr. Herman asked about new program requests (PRR I s) from OU to address special needs. Provost Kleckner responded that OU requested:

- 1. library funds
- 2. disadvantaged student program monies

He added that, regrettably, the state seems to see these being funded from an institution's regularly allocated funds, i.e. that PRR's will probably not be "add-ons" this year. There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 3:55 p.m. upon call from Mr. Olson. Next meeting: Thursday, April 12, 1990 at 3:10 p.m.

Respectfully submitted, P. Cass Secretary *Februrary 22, 1990

To: Members of the Senate

From: Keith R. Kleckner Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost

Subject: Next meeting

The next scheduled meeting of the Senate has been moved forward one week and is now scheduled for Thursday, Marcy 8 at 3:10 p.m. The location of this meeting will be the East Crockery of the Oakland Center. Please enter the room via the south door (diagonally across from Sweet Sensations).

The agenda for this meeting will be that which was scheduled for the snowed-out meeting of February 15 and previously distributed. We have a few extra copies of you have misplaced yours. Please call Sharon Wilhelm at extension 2193.

